

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_117292
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of In-vitro Anti-arthritis, Anti-inflammatory, Anti-fungal, Thrombolytic, Membrane Stabilizing and Cytotoxic properties of Camellia chrysantha (Hu) Tuyama
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, The manuscript evaluates the in-vitro bioactivities of methanolic extract from Camellia chrysantha (Hu) Tuyama leaves. It provides novel insights into its medicinal potential, supported by phytochemical analysis. With increasing antimicrobial resistance, the study's exploration of potential antimicrobial agents from natural sources is particularly relevant. Additionally, the assessment of cytotoxicity is essential for evaluating its safety and efficacy as an anticancer agent. The findings hold promise for the development of new drugs or nutraceuticals, making this research significant for professionals in pharmacology, natural product chemistry, and drug discovery.</p> <p>Yes it is suitable but could be made more concise and focused. For instance, "Evaluation of In-vitro Bioactivities of Methanolic Extract from Camellia chrysantha (Hu) Tuyama Leaves."</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Abstract: Provide a clearer statement of the objectives of the study. Mention the relevance of the study findings in the abstract.</p> <p>Introduction: The link between inflammation and reactive oxygen species could be more clearly articulated. Specify how the research contributes to existing knowledge.</p> <p>Material and Methods: Provide more details on the methodology, especially regarding the phytochemical screening and bioassays. Specify the concentration range used for each assay to facilitate reproducibility.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Interpret the results with reference to existing literature. Discuss limitations and future directions.</p> <p>Conclusion: Emphasize the significance of the findings and their potential applications.</p> <p>References: Ensure consistency in citation style. Check for any missing references cited within the text. (Ref no. 5)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	Figures and Tables: Ensure that all tables are referred to in the text. All Tables should be well-labelled, and easy to understand. Additional Suggestions: Clarify the rationale behind choosing specific concentrations for the assays. Consider including a discussion on the possible mechanisms of action underlying the observed bioactivities.	
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hemant S. Paradkar
Department, University & Country	APM's Ayurved College, India