

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_117292
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of In-vitro Anti-arthritis, Anti-inflammatory, Anti-fungal, Thrombolytic, Membrane Stabilizing and Cytotoxic properties of Camellia chrysantha (Hu) Tuyama
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes it could be useful showing the road to more detailed and in deep future studies.</p> <p>2- The title needs to be "Evaluation of <i>In vitro</i> Anti-inflammatory, Anti-fungal, Thrombolytic, Membrane Stabilizing and Cytotoxic properties of <i>Camellia chrysantha</i> (Hu) Tuyama "</p> <p>Remove anti-arthritis and make in vitro italic</p> <p>3- The abstract was too long but not comprehensive as there is no conclusion nor recommendations</p> <p>4- Yes except for Section 2.6 and 2.7 which involved unnecessary subsections</p> <p>5- Yes</p> <p>6- The reference are not sufficient as many statements and information needs a reference. Most of the presented references are recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language was weak and sound like a software translation; need to be revised and re-write</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1- Introduction was very brief, it is not covering the details of title</p> <p>2- There are more specific tests for evaluation of anti-arthritis activity than protein denaturation. Methods like Freund's adjuvant, Carrageenan- induced arthritis, Collagen - induced arthritis,.. etc may be better to be consider.</p> <p>3- The pharmacological classification of Diclofenac is NSAIDs not " anti-arthritis" !!</p> <p>4- The author did not mention the reference number in order of appearance in text, for example jump from reference 4 to reference 12 in next paragraph without refering to references (5 -11).</p> <p>5- The author should be consistent in style of in-text citation and in writing of reference list (see reference 5 for example)</p> <p>6- Table 1 did not mentioned in text before it.</p> <p>7- Find my attached comments on the manuscript</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harith Jameel Mahdi Alsammarraie
Department, University & Country	University of Samarra, Iraq