

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_117186
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF NEONATAL MORTALITY AMONGST SICK NEONATES IN THE SPECIAL CARE BABY UNIT OF A TERTIARY INSTITUTION IN ORLU, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, topic is very much relevant.</p> <p>Need slight modification as per my opinion. " A retrospective study to find out risk factors of neonatal mortality in the special care baby unit of a tertiary institution in Orlu, Nigeria"</p> <p>Need more care in writing, it's better to be written within the word count 250-300.</p> <p>Objectives and ethical issues are not mentioned. Grammatical mistakes too much. More care needed in result section, in table too.</p> <p>Few things missing. Result doesn't match in few areas, mentioned in comments.</p> <p>Few references are too old, need more recent data.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need to consult with language expert. Few grammatical mistakes are there. Not a good writing at all.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It's a very good and relevant topic to address. Author had tried best but if possible do revise once again.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Baisakhi Sarkar
Department, University & Country	The West Bengal University of Health Sciences, India