

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_117565
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Critical Thinking Aspects in the Implementation of Blended Learning Model Based on Electric Material Project
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is more informative for teachers' community to apply the blended learning model in their teaching-learning process.</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>Yes, however need to add more concrete results in the abstract so the reader would be able to know the tangible outcomes of the study in a glimpse. Yes</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript clearly defines the scientific reasoning and analysis.</p> <p>Few references are out-dated and too old; need to add new and latest references to provide support and augment the study.</p> <p>I have concern that according to this study the research subjects were only 20 students who were interviewed and filled out a questionnaire; this sample was too small to conduct survey as survey provides the data to generalize on bigger population. However, this number of 20 is sufficient in qualitative research to gather in depth understanding of the phenomenon.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, English language of the article depicts the scholastic communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hina Zeeshan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Fatima Jinnah Women University, Pakistan</b>