

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_SAJ SSE_117805</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Relationship between Anti-Corruption Character Education in the Family and Anti-Corruption Character of Adolescents at SMA Negeri 12 Makassar</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Q1.Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>A.Certainly, the paper is significant to the scientific community since it offers factual data on the function of families in character education against corruption, which is essential in the fight against corruption. It provides educators and legislators with insightful information on creating successful teaching plans. The study's applicability cuts across several academic fields and advances knowledge of moral growth and ethical education.</p> <p>The title of the article is year is the alternative alternative for this</p> <p>"Examining the Impact of Family-Based Anti-Corruption Character Education on Adolescent Attitudes: A Case Study of SMA Negeri 12 Makassar"</p> <p>A.Indeed, the abstract gives a thorough summary of the goals, methods, conclusions, and implications of the study. The study's objectives, methods (quantitative utilizing a survey technique), sample and population sizes, testing of hypotheses, and findings are all presented in detail. Along with the coefficient of determination showing the degree of this influence, it also provides the important result that anti-corruption character education in the family has a positive and significant impact on teenagers' anti-corruption character. The key ideas and conclusions of the study are succinctly outlined in the abstract.</p> <p>A.Overall, your work is well-structured and offers insightful information about the connection between adolescents behavior and family-based anti-corruption character education. It may be strengthened even further to significantly advance the field with a few little changes.</p> <p>Few suggestions</p> <p>Make sure the objectives and structure of your work are succinctly summarized in the introduction. Improve the review of the literature by adding new research and different viewpoints. Provide specifics about the survey's design, data gathering techniques, and validity and reliability checks in the methodology section. Give thorough explanations of the statistical analyses that support your hypothesis in the findings and discussion section. Extend the conclusion to offer useful ramifications for teaching students about anti-corruption in households and educational settings. To increase readability, keep a logical flow and make use of subheadings. Throughout the manuscript,</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>make sure to follow formatting, citation style, and language guidelines consistently.</p> <p>Q5.Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>A.The information presented in the manuscript seems to be sound from a scientific standpoint. The survey approach and statistical analysis used in the research methodology are appropriate for achieving the goals of the study. The literature review is pertinent to the subject and has strong support. It's difficult to offer a firm evaluation of the manuscript's scientific accuracy, though, without thoroughly reviewing the entire document, including the data gathering techniques, analysis, and conclusions. To guarantee the scientific validity of your manuscript, it is always advised to get it reviewed by colleagues or subject matter experts.</p> <p>Q6.Are the references sufficient and recent?</p> <p>A.The collection of references appears to be rather extensive and includes a variety of current as well as pertinent literature on character education. It would be beneficial to be aware of the precise specifications or rules pertaining to the quantity and relevancy of references in your research work. In order to show that you have a deep understanding of the subject and how it has changed over time, it is generally advisable to include a combination of seminal and current (written within the last five to ten years) works. You may wish to go over and update your reference list if your research paper calls for a specific amount of recent references.</p> <p>MY PERSONAL COMMENTS</p> <p>The study sheds important light on how anti-corruption character education inside the family influences the behavior of teenagers. The significance of early intervention and the important role that family values play in shaping anti-corruption attitudes are both skillfully highlighted. Try adding case studies or examples to show how anti-corruption character education is applied in real-world situations to improve the paper. The analysis could be improved by going over any obstacles or restrictions on the implementation of this kind of instruction. The impact and applicability of the work can be further increased by including a brief section on potential future research avenues or suggestions for legislators.</p> <p>Q7.Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> <p>A.The article's language and English quality are typically appropriate for academic discourse. Still, there are a few places that could use better. Some sentences are difficult to read because they are long and complicated. Think about dividing them into more manageable, shorter sentences. To keep things clear and succinct, make sure all terminology is consistent and steer clear of</p>	
--	--	--

**Review Form 1.7**

	repetitions. Although the post offers insightful information overall, it might use a few small edits to improve readability and coherence.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vikas Rajesh Tawar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharaja Chhatrasal Bundelkhand University, India</b>