

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JAERI_117787
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF SUCCESS LEVEL OF RECLAMATION PLANT ON THE POST-MINING LAND OF PT. KITADIN SITE EMBALUT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The author/s must improve the quality of the paper. English is not very good. Some sentences must be re-written. The results aren't well explained. I suggest they could explain the results according to the plant species and not in a general way.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>They must be improved</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but I suggest to look for reference from other countries, not only from Asia</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language quality is poor, must be re-written</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Improve the quality of one table. I suggest comparing with other examples and, explain in a more detailed way the results. The article is poor</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alessia Corami
Department, University & Country	Scholl of Geoscience, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Italy