

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_IJPSS_117868
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT AND WEED CONTROL PRACTICES ON WEED DYNAMICS IN WHEAT (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Several reasons make this title important for the scientific community like Ecological Impact, Species-specific Research, Geographic Variation, Disease Identification and Management, Global Relevance. Overall, this title is likely to attract the attention of researchers interested in sustainable agriculture practices, weed management, and cereal crop production.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is appropriate. Here are some suggestions for the title 1."Impact of Nutrient Management and Weed Control Practices on Weed Dynamics in Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)" 2."Assessing the Effects of Nutrient Management and Weed Control Strategies on Weed Populations in Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)".	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes. The abstract of the article is comprehensive enough. But addition of one or two points relevant to research gap will enhance the article in a scientific manner.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. But there is some grammatical mistakes in Introduction, Results and Conclusion parts.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Each section is well-organized, with a clear connection between different parts of the manuscript. Author provides sufficient data, evidence, and context to support the findings in each section. However, Statistical analysis part is clearly missing.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	No, the references in the manuscript are not recent and sufficient. The author need to refer recently published (Last 5 years) articles and add it as references. Conclusion part needs to be elaborated.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. But, there is some minor grammatical mistakes in the article.	
Optional/General comments	Inclusion of statistical tool and data analysis part will enhance the article in a holistic manner.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Navaneetha Krishnan
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India