

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_JABB_117517
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Different Varieties of Sponge Gourd (<i>Luffa cylindrica</i> L.) in Terms of Growth, Yield and Quality under prayagraj agro climatic condition
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Sponge gourd is a common vegetable and lots of research has been done on it. However, still, this study could still be important as it has been conducted in local conditions which might have different agro-climatic conditions.</p> <p>2. The title is okay but could be improved.</p> <p>3. The abstract should include the importance of the work and important results. The abstract should also include what is the importance of these results for others.</p> <p>4. Subsections are okay</p> <p>5. The introduction section failed to highlight the research gap and the importance of the study, subsequently, the objectives were not clear. The methods section is short and does not describe the details of the methodology. Which data were collected and how the data were analyzed is not clear. The table data should include mean±SEM and lettering should be done based on the statistical analysis.</p> <p>6. I think this manuscript needs major revision before publication.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No, the language of the manuscript needs to be improved for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masudur Rahman
Department, University & Country	Sylhet Agricultural University, Bangladesh