

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_118377
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of crop residue management and weed management practices on nutrient content and nutrient uptake in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.).
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, The work is significant and relevant to modern agricultural practices. Effective crop residue management and weed control are critical for enhancing soil fertility, optimizing nutrient availability, and improving crop yields. This study provides valuable insights into how different management practices impact the nutrient content and uptake in wheat, a staple crop with global importance.</p> <p>The title may be improved as "Effect of crop residue and weed management practices on nutrient content and nutrient uptake in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)" so as to avoid the repetition of the word, "management"</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The introduction may be improved as of now it mainly focuses on wheat and does not emphasize sufficiently on the need and relevance of this study. The discussion also needs to be improved. The manuscripts does not provide reasons for obtaining such results rather only mentions that similar results have also been reported by so and so.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>–</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhawna Babal
Department, University & Country	CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, India