

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_EJNFS_117856
Title of the Manuscript:	Postharvest shelf life and quality retention potentials of Neem, Moringa and synthesized silver nanoparticles coatings on Solanum lycopersicum L. (Tomato) fruits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Postharvest shelf life and quality retention potentials of Neem, Moringa and synthesized silver nanoparticles coatings on Solanum lycopersicum L. (Tomato) fruits</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The title is generally good and worth reading. Because, tomato is perishable horticultural products. However, there are a lot of concerns need refinements before acceptance of the manuscript for publication.</li> <li>• The paper lacks strong background, justification and statement of the problems.</li> <li>• The methodologies are also not clearly indicated as i have highlighted in the manuscript.</li> <li>• Microbial data is not indicated in the manuscript (i have also highlighted it in the manuscript)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Misgana Banti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia</b>