

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_117544
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Germination and Fermentation on the Nutrient Contents, Functional and Antioxidant Activities of Pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan) flour
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript titled "Effect of Germination and Fermentation on the Nutrient Contents, Functional and Antioxidant Activities of Pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan) flour" is important for the scientific community, particularly within the fields of food science, nutrition, and agricultural research.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is concise and reflects the content of the study. It clearly indicates the focus on the effects of germination and fermentation on pigeon pea flour.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive as it covers the objectives, methods, and key findings, including nutrient composition, mineral content, functional properties, sensory properties, phenolic content, and antioxidant activity.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. The references in the manuscript are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Faizul Hasan
Department, University & Country	Delhi Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research University, India