

Extraction and Purification of Squalene from Virgin Olive Oil via catalytic Transesterification and Molecular Distillation

Abstract:

Squalene, a highly valuable compound found abundantly in various natural sources, holds immense promise in pharmaceutical, cosmetic, and nutraceutical applications. This research article presents a detailed and systematic approach for the extraction and purification of squalene from virgin olive oil, a rich reservoir of this compound. The methodology involves a series of steps, including degumming, catalytic transesterification of virgin olive oil using sodium methoxide or PTSA, acetone precipitation, and molecular distillation, aimed at obtaining high-purity squalene. Quantitative analysis of squalene purity was conducted using High-Performance Thin-Layer Chromatography (HPTLC). The initial purification process yielded a squalene purity of 67%, with a recovery rate of 69.8%. Additionally, further purification steps utilizing molecular distillation were proposed to achieve even higher levels of purity. This comprehensive procedure offers insights into the efficient extraction and purification of squalene from virgin olive oil, paving the way for its potential applications in various industries.

Keywords: Squalene, Virgin Olive Oil, Transesterification, Molecular Distillation, TLC, HPTLC, Purification

Introduction:

Virgin olive oil, renowned for its health benefits and culinary significance, harbours a notable content of squalene, ranging from 1.2 mg to 2.5 mg per gram of oil. Squalene, a triterpene compound, holds considerable promise across various industries due to its diverse applications and beneficial properties [1].

In pharmaceuticals, squalene serves as a crucial ingredient in vaccine adjuvants, enhancing the body's immune response to antigens. Additionally, squalene finds extensive utilization in the cosmetic industry, where its emollient and moisturizing properties make it a sought-after component in skincare formulations. Moreover, the nutraceutical sector recognizes squalene for its potential in dietary supplements, attributed to its antioxidant properties and purported health benefits [2].

In recent years, the importance of squalene in vaccine production has garnered significant attention. Vaccine adjuvants, such as squalene, play a pivotal role in vaccine efficacy by stimulating a robust immune response [3]. Traditionally, squalene derived from shark liver oil has been utilized in vaccine formulations [4]. However, concerns regarding environmental sustainability and ethical considerations have led to a shift towards vegetable-derived squalene.

The vaccine industry's adoption of vegetable squalene over shark liver squalene is driven by several factors. Firstly, sourcing squalene from vegetable oils, such as virgin olive oil, aligns with sustainable practices and reduces reliance on marine resources vulnerable to overexploitation [5]. Furthermore, vegetable squalene offers a purer and more consistent composition compared to its shark-derived counterpart, mitigating potential variability in

vaccine formulations. Additionally, vegetable squalene avoids the ethical dilemmas associated with harvesting squalene from shark populations, contributing to the industry's commitment to ethical sourcing and environmental stewardship [6].

Squalene, characterized by the molecular formula $C_{30}H_{50}$, plays a pivotal role as an intermediate in the synthesis of phytosterols or cholesterol in both plant and animal organisms, exhibiting widespread distribution across the biological spectrum [7]. Recent research suggests that squalene may have been crucial for early life forms on Earth, as microorganisms and, later, higher organisms during the Precambrian era contained significant amounts of squalene in their cellular membranes, possibly aiding their survival in oxygen-deficient environments [8].

Interestingly, it wasn't until the latter half of the 20th century that scientists uncovered the presence of squalene in trace amounts within the human body. New-borns demonstrate the highest concentrations of squalene in their bloodstream, with levels gradually diminishing after reaching adulthood, notably between the ages of 30 and 40. In humans, the liver primarily synthesizes squalene, which is then secreted in notable quantities by the sebaceous glands. Notably, squalene accounts for about 12% of the lipids produced by these glands and does not undergo conversion into cholesterol [9]. Skin lipids exhibit the highest concentrations of squalene in humans, averaging approximately $500\ \mu\text{g/g}$, followed by adipose tissue with concentrations around $300\ \mu\text{g/g}$. Organs where active biosynthesis occurs, such as the liver and small intestine, generally exhibit lower squalene concentrations, typically ranging from $42\ \mu\text{g/g}$ to $75\ \mu\text{g/g}$ [10].

The credit for discovering squalene goes to Dr. Mitsumaru Tsujimoto, a Japanese researcher specializing in oils and fats at the Tokyo Industrial Testing Station. In 1906, Dr. Tsujimoto isolated the unsaponifiable fraction from shark liver oil, known as "kuroko-zame," leading to the identification of a highly unsaturated hydrocarbon [11]. A decade later, Dr. Tsujimoto successfully isolated an unsaturated hydrocarbon with the chemical formula $C_{30}H_{50}$ through fractional vacuum distillation of liver oil from deep-sea shark species, naming it "squalene" after the family name of sharks, Squalidae [11].

Subsequent studies have confirmed Dr. Tsujimoto's proposed chemical formula for squalene, providing further insights into its chemical structure and elucidating its chemical and physical properties [11]. These findings have contributed significantly to our understanding of squalene's roles and potential applications across various fields, including pharmaceuticals and cosmetics.

Squalene has been detected in various plant oils, displaying different concentrations. Olive oil was the first vegetable oil where squalene was identified. Recent research by Frega et al. indicated squalene concentrations in olive oil at $564\ \text{mg}/100\ \text{g}$, soybean oil at $9.9\ \text{mg}/100\ \text{g}$, grape seed oil at $14.1\ \text{mg}/100\ \text{g}$, hazelnut oil at $27.9\ \text{mg}/100\ \text{g}$, peanut oil at $27.4\ \text{mg}/100\ \text{g}$, and corn oil at $27.4\ \text{mg}/100\ \text{g}$ [12]. In Europe, commonly produced vegetable oils exhibit squalene concentration ranges of $0\text{--}0.19\ \text{g/kg}$ in sunflower oil, $0.03\text{--}0.2\ \text{g/kg}$ in soybean oil, $0.1\text{--}0.17\ \text{g/kg}$ in corn oil, and $1.7\text{--}4.6\ \text{g/kg}$ in olive oil. Among pseudograins, *Amaranthus* sp., introduced more recently in Europe, is recognized for having the highest squalene concentration in the plant kingdom, at $4.16\ \text{g squalene/kg seed}$. An extensive study encompassing 104 genotypes of 30 *Amaranthus* species revealed squalene concentrations ranging from 10.4 to $73.0\ \text{g/kg}$ in amaranth oil [13].

Squalene Biosynthesis

Squalene, present in both mammalian and plant tissues, serves as a crucial intermediary in the synthesis pathways of cholesterol and sterols, essential for the functioning of organisms. The biosynthesis of squalene commences with the enzyme thiolase catalyzing the fusion of two Acetyl-CoA molecules to yield Acetoacetyl-CoA. Subsequently, HMG-synthase facilitates the addition of another Acetyl-CoA molecule, leading to the formation of β -Hydroxy- β -Methylglutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA) [14]. Through the action of HMG-CoA reductase, Mevalonate is generated. Mevalonate-5-phosphotransferase and phosphomevalonate kinase then facilitate the addition of two phosphates from Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP), resulting in the conversion to Dimethylallyl pyrophosphate. Following this, phenyl-transferase mediates the formation of two head-to-tail unions and three isoprene units, yielding Farnesyl pyrophosphate. Finally, squalene synthase polymerizes Farnesyl pyrophosphate to produce squalene, liberating inorganic Pyrophosphate (PPi) in the process [14].

Different methods of extraction squalene

Various methods are employed to extract lipids from biological materials and isolate specific compounds. Soxhlet extraction, also known as organic solvent extraction, is widely utilized as the standard method, ensuring complete extraction of target substances. Hexane is commonly employed as the solvent for large-scale extractions due to its cost-effectiveness and high efficiency [15]. Organic solvents are typically involved in lipid extraction, with cold press techniques often preferred on an industrial scale to preserve thermolabile compounds. However, these methods may yield lower quantities, prompting the exploration of new high-pressure techniques to enhance yield and efficiency. Ultrasonic extraction in combination with organic solvents has shown promise in achieving higher yields [15].

Cold press methods, incorporating innovative mechanisms to replace traditional hammer crushers, have achieved significant extraction rates, with reported squalene yields of up to 65g/kg. Comparisons between cold press, organic solvent, and supercritical fluid extractions have demonstrated the superiority of supercritical fluid extraction in terms of both yield and purity. Another separation method involves silver ion complexation, which has been applied to Camellia oil extraction, resulting in squalene extracts with a purity of 37.8% [16]. While this method offers advantages such as cost-effectiveness and reagent recycling, it necessitates pre-treatment steps and the removal of chemical residues post-extraction [16].

Supercritical fluid extraction (SCFE) presents an alternative approach for extracting polar compounds. SCFE utilizes fluids with gas-like diffusivity and liquid-like solvation power, making them capable of penetrating solid materials. CO₂ is commonly employed as a solvent in SCFE due to its non-toxic, non-flammable nature and ease of removal [17]. SCFE has been particularly effective in extracting squalene, yielding high-purity extracts despite being considered an expensive technology. Amaranth seeds have been a focus of squalene SCFE studies, with varying conditions such as pressure, temperature, and extraction time influencing yield and efficiency. Optimal conditions for squalene extraction from Amaranthus species include pressures ranging from 30 to 55 MPa and temperatures between 40°C and 100°C, highlighting the versatility and efficacy of SCFE methods [18].

In light of these considerations, the vaccine industry stands to benefit significantly from transitioning towards vegetable-derived squalene, leveraging the abundant and sustainable

sources available. By harnessing the potential of squalene from virgin olive oil and other vegetable sources, the vaccine industry can uphold both efficacy and ethical standards in vaccine development, ensuring a sustainable and equitable approach to global healthcare.

Materials and Methods:

Degumming:

Heating: Heat the olive oil to a temperature between 60°C to 70°C (140°F to 158°F). This temperature range helps in lowering the viscosity of the oil and facilitating the degumming process.[19]

Mixing with Water: Add a predetermined amount of warm water (typically around 2-3% of the oil weight) to the heated olive oil. The water helps in hydrating the phospholipids present in the oil, allowing them to be separated more easily.[19]

Addition of Phosphoric Acid: Slowly add food-grade phosphoric acid to the oil-water mixture while stirring continuously. The phosphoric acid reacts with the phospholipids, forming precipitates that can be separated from the oil.

Mixing and Resting: Continue stirring the mixture for around 20 to 30 minutes to ensure thorough mixing and reaction. After this, allow the mixture to rest for an additional 20 to 30 minutes. During this resting period, the phospholipids and other impurities will settle at the bottom of the container.

Separation: After the resting period, the mixture will separate into distinct layers. The upper layer consists of degummed olive oil, while the lower layer contains the precipitated impurities.

Decantation or Centrifugation: Carefully decant or separate the upper layer of degummed olive oil from the lower layer of impurities. Alternatively, the mixture can be centrifuged to accelerate the separation process.

Washing and PH adjustment: The degummed olive oil can be washed with warm water to remove any residual impurities and PH is adjusted

Transesterification:

100 ml of virgin olive oil was taken. Methanol (25% w/w) was added as a solvent. Sodium methoxide or PTSA (0.5%) was added as a catalyst. The solution was stirred continuously. The mixture was heated to 80-90 degrees Celsius under reflux for 1-2 hours. [20, 21, 22]

Acetone Precipitation:

After transesterification, the solvent and acetone were distilled out. Acetone precipitation was repeated two to three times until unaponified matter was left. The unaponified matter was filtered out, and acetone was evaporated completely [23].

Molecular Distillation:

The concentrated oil obtained after acetone evaporation was subjected to molecular distillation. Molecular distillation was conducted at 180 degrees Celsius and 0.0033 bar

pressure for 1 hour or until the process completed. The distillate and residue were collected for further analysis [24].

Qualitative analysis by Thin layer chromatography:

After molecular distillation, the obtained squalene underwent qualitative analysis via Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) using 6x10 cm Merck TLC plates coated with AI silica gel 60 F254 as the stationary phase. The mobile phase consisted of hexane: chloroform (9:1). Standard squalene and extracted squalene samples were spotted on the TLC plates and allowed to run for a distance of 9 cm. Subsequently, the TLC plates were dried and sprayed with a 10% hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution. [25]

Quantitative Analysis of Squalene:

HPTLC analysis was performed using Merck TLC plates AI silica gel 60 F254 (100x100 mm) with a mobile phase of cyclohexane. The process is performed using CAMAG. The saturation time was set to 20 minutes, and wavelengths of 254 nm and 366 nm were utilized [26]. The squalene purity was determined using a standard purchased from DKSH (100%).

Results:

The extraction and purification process yielded promising results in the isolation of squalene from virgin olive oil. Initial transesterification of the oil with methanol and catalysts facilitated the conversion of ester groups, resulting in the formation of squalene-rich fractions. Acetone precipitation effectively removed saponified matter, leaving behind unsaponified components, including squalene. Subsequent molecular distillation further refined the squalene-rich fraction, enhancing its purity.

Thin layer chromatography was conducted utilizing hexane: chloroform (9:1) as the mobile phase. Following the migration of the solvent phase for a distance of 9 cm, the TLC plate was dried and subjected to spraying with a 10% HCl solution. Gentle heating was applied, resulting in the appearance of pink-coloured spots, indicative of the presence of terpene squalene. Comparative analysis with the DKSH standard confirmed the RF (retention factor) value of 0.93, thereby affirming the qualitative assessment of the extracted squalene

Quantitative analysis of squalene purity using High-Performance Thin-Layer Chromatography (HPTLC) revealed a purity level of 67% in the obtained distillate. The recovery rate of squalene was determined to be 69.8%, indicating efficient extraction and purification processes. These results demonstrate the feasibility of isolating squalene from virgin olive oil using the proposed methodology.

Amount of sample applied on plate = 9.08 μ g

Amount of squalene detected on sample plate = 6.084 μ g

% of Squalene sample detected on HPTLC method = $(6.084/9.08) \times 100 = 67\%$

Discussion:

The obtained squalene purity of 67% represents a significant enrichment compared to the initial squalene content in virgin olive oil, underscoring the efficacy of the extraction and purification procedures employed. However, further optimization may be warranted to

enhance the purity to meet specific application requirements, particularly in pharmaceutical and cosmetic formulations where higher purity levels are desired.

The recovery rate of 69.8% indicates a satisfactory yield of squalene from the virgin olive oil feedstock. Nevertheless, efforts to improve yield efficiency while minimizing energy consumption and environmental impact merit consideration. Optimization of process parameters, such as reaction time, temperature, and catalyst concentration, could potentially enhance both yield and purity, leading to more sustainable and cost-effective production processes [15,16,17].

The utilization of HPTLC for quantitative analysis provided valuable insights into the squalene content and purity of the obtained distillate [26]. However, complementary analytical techniques, such as gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) [27] or high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), may offer additional characterization and confirmation of squalene purity [28]. Future studies could explore the application of these techniques to corroborate the findings and validate the efficacy of the purification process.

The transition towards vegetable-derived squalene, as exemplified by the extraction from virgin olive oil, reflects a broader trend towards sustainable and ethical practices in the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries [1,2]. By prioritizing environmentally friendly sourcing and production methods, stakeholders can uphold ethical standards while meeting market demand for high-quality ingredients. The adoption of vegetable-derived squalene aligns with these objectives, offering a viable alternative to shark liver squalene without compromising product quality or efficacy.

Conclusion

The extraction and purification of squalene from virgin olive oil present a promising avenue for obtaining a highly valuable compound with diverse applications in pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, and nutraceuticals. The comprehensive procedure outlined in this research article, involving Degumming, transesterification, acetone precipitation, and molecular distillation, demonstrates an effective method for obtaining squalene with a purity of 67% and a recovery rate of 69.8%. While the initial purification steps have yielded satisfactory results, further refinement through molecular distillation is recommended to achieve higher purity levels required for specific applications.

The utilization of High-Performance Thin-Layer Chromatography (HPTLC) for quantitative analysis of squalene purity provides valuable insights into the efficiency of the purification process. Moreover, the proposed methodology offers a systematic approach that can be replicated and optimized for large-scale production, ensuring consistent and reliable outcomes.

Overall, the successful extraction and purification of squalene from virgin olive oil underscore its potential as a valuable resource for various industries. By harnessing the benefits of squalene, researchers and practitioners can explore new opportunities in pharmaceutical formulations, cosmetic products, and nutritional supplements. As advancements in extraction and purification techniques continue to evolve, the future holds promising prospects for maximizing the utility of squalene in improving human health and well-being.






Figure 1: TLC squalene

Substance SQUALENE (R_F 0.93)	
Track	R_F
1	0.356
2	0.351
3	0.371
4	0.369

Rf 0.93

Figure 2: HPTLC chromatogram of standard squalene & sample extracted at 254 nm






Exposure	10.000 s
Contrast	1.0
Normalized exposure	Disabled
Clarify	Disabled
White balance	1.00, 1.00, 1.00


Figure 3: HPTLC chromatogram of standard squalene & sample extracted at 366 nm

UNDER PEER REVIEW




Peak #	Start		Max			End		Area		Manual peak	Substance Name
	R _F	H	R _F	H	%	R _F	H	A	%		
1	0.301	0.0000	0.356	0.5170	100.00	0.408	0.0000	0.02156	100.00	No	SQUALENE

Figure 4: quantitative chromatograph of standard squalene spot 1



Peak #	Start		Max			End		Area		Manual peak	Substance Name
	R _F	H	R _F	H	%	R _F	H	A	%		
1	0.293	0.0000	0.351	0.5161	100.00	0.397	0.0018	0.02149	100.00	No	SQUALENE


Figure 5: quantitative chromatograph of standard squalene spot 2



Peak #	Start		Max			End		Area		Manual peak	Substance Name
	R _F	H	R _F	H	%	R _F	H	A	%		
1	0.301	0.0000	0.367	0.5466	100.00	0.411	0.0000	0.03173	100.00	No	SQUALENE


Figure 6: quantitative chromatograph of sample squalene spot 1

Track 4:	
Type	Sample
Vial ID	SA2122036-01
Description	MFS Squalene 60%
Volume	4.0 µl



Peak #	Start		Max			End		Area		Manual peak	Substance Name
	R _F	H	R _F	H	%	R _F	H	A	%		
1	0.297	0.0000	0.365	0.5465	100.00	0.408	0.0000	0.03124	100.00	No	SQUALENE

Figure 7: quantitative chromatograph of sample squalene spot 2



Flow chart 1 :SQUALENE BIOSYNTHEIS PATHWAY

References

1. Beltran, G., Bucheli, M.E., Aguilera, M.P., Belaj, A. and Jimenez, A. (2016), Squalene in virgin olive oil: Screening of variability in olive cultivars. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.*, 118: 1250-1253. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201500295>
2. Du X, Ma X and Gao Y (2024) The physiological function of squalene and its application prospects in animal husbandry. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 10:1284500. doi:10.3389/fvets.2023.1284500
3. Mendes A, Azevedo-Silva J, Fernandes JC. From Sharks to Yeasts: Squalene in the Development of Vaccine Adjuvants. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*. 2022 Feb 22;15(3):265. doi: 10.3390/ph15030265. PMID: 35337064; PMCID: PMC8951290.
4. Tegenge MA, Von Tungeln LS, Anderson SA, Mitkus RJ, Vanlandingham MM, Forshee RA, Beland FA. Comparative pharmacokinetic and biodistribution study of two distinct squalene-containing oil-in-water emulsion adjuvants in H5N1 influenza vaccines. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol.* 2019 Nov;108:104436. doi: 10.1016/j.yrtph.2019.104436. Epub 2019 Aug 2. PMID: 31381939.
5. Ebrahimi M, Farhadian N, Amiri AR, Hataminia F, Soflaei SS, Karimi M. Evaluating the efficacy of extracted squalene from seed oil in the form of microemulsion for the treatment of COVID-19: A clinical study. *J Med Virol.* 2022 Jan;94(1):119-130. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27273. Epub 2021 Aug 26. PMID: 34403141; PMCID: PMC8427120.
6. Mendes, A.; Azevedo-Silva, J.; Fernandes, J.C. From Sharks to Yeasts: Squalene in the Development of Vaccine Adjuvants. *Pharmaceuticals* 2022, 15, 265. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ph15030265>
7. Micera M, Botto A, Geddo F, Antoniotti S, Berteza CM, Levi R, Gallo MP, Querio G. Squalene: More than a Step toward Sterols. *Antioxidants (Basel)*. 2020 Aug 2;9(8):688. doi: 10.3390/antiox9080688. PMID: 32748847; PMCID: PMC7464659.
8. Popa O, Babeanu NE, Popa I, Niță S, Dinu-Pârvu CE. Methods for obtaining and determination of squalene from natural sources. *Biomed Res Int.* 2015;2015:367202. doi: 10.1155/2015/367202. Epub 2015 Jan 28. PMID: 25695064; PMCID: PMC4324104.
9. Purkiewicz A, Czaplicki S, Pietrzak-Fiecko R. The Occurrence of Squalene in Human Milk and Infant Formula. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022 Oct 9;19(19):12928. doi: 10.3390/ijerph191912928. PMID: 36232224; PMCID: PMC9566149.
10. Felisa Rey, Eliana Alves, Luis Gaspar, Marcos Conceição, M. Rosario Domingues, Chapter 10 - Oils as a source of bioactive lipids (olive oil, palm oil, fish oil), Editor(s): Manuela Pintado, Manuela Machado, Ana Maria Gomes, Ana Sofia Salsinha, Luís Miguel Rodríguez-Alcalá, *Bioactive Lipids*, Academic Press, 2023, Pages 231-268, ISBN 9780128240434, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-824043-4.00013-0>.
11. Gohil N, Bhattacharjee G, Khambhati K, Braddick D, Singh V. Engineering Strategies in Microorganisms for the Enhanced Production of Squalene: Advances,

- Challenges and Opportunities. *Front BioengBiotechnol.* 2019 Mar 22;7:50. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2019.00050. Erratum in: *Front BioengBiotechnol.* 2019 May 28;7:114. PMID: 30968019; PMCID: PMC6439483.
12. Frega, N., Bocci, F., and Lercker, G. (1992). Direct gas chromatographic analysis of the unsaponifiable fraction of different oils with a polar capillary column. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* 69, 447–450. doi: 10.1007/BF02540946
 13. Sheng YY, Xiang J, Wang KR, Li ZY, Li K, Lu JL, Ye JH, Liang YR and Zheng XQ (2022) Extraction of Squalene From Tea Leaves (*Camellia sinensis*) and Its Variations With Leaf Maturity and Tea Cultivar. *Front. Nutr.* 9:755514. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.755514
 14. Noushahi, H.A., Khan, A.H., Noushahi, U.F. et al. Biosynthetic pathways of triterpenoids and strategies to improve their Biosynthetic Efficiency. *Plant Growth Regul* 97, 439–454 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-022-00818-9>
 15. wan Nurul Diyana Ramli , Mohd Azizi Che Yunus , Lee Nian Yian , ZuhailiIdham , Ahmad Hazim Abdul Aziz , Noor Aiyah Aris , Nicky Rahmana Putra , Shahid Kamar Sha .Extraction of Squalene from *Aquilaria malaccensis* Leaves Using Different Extraction Methods, *Malaysian Journal of Analytical Sciences* Vol 22 No 6 (2018): 973 – 983 DOI: 10.17576/mjas-2018-2206-07
 16. Xiao, H., Yao, Z., Peng, Q., Ni, F., Sun, Y., Zhang, C. X., & Zhong, Z. X. (2016). Extraction of squalene from camellia oil by silver ion complexation. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 169, 196-201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.05.041>
 17. Sayed-Ahmad, B.; Urrutigoity, M.; Hijazi, A.; Saad, Z.; Cerny, M.; Evon, P.; Talou, T.; Merah, O. Amaranth Oilseed Composition and Cosmetic Applications. *Separations* 2022, 9, 181. <https://doi.org/10.3390/separations9070181>
 18. Wejnerowska, Grażyna, Przemysław Heinrich, and Jerzy Gaca. "Separation of squalene and oil from Amaranthus seeds by supercritical carbon dioxide." *Separation and Purification Technology* 110 (2013): 39-43.
 19. Sun, H., D. Wiesenborn, K. Tostenson, J. Gillespie, and P. Rayas-Duarte. "Fractionation of squalene from amaranth seed oil." *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society* 74 (1997): 413-418.
 20. P. Bondioli, A. Lanzani, E. Fedeli, A. Mossa, and A. Muller, "Process and device for producing squalene from olive oil residues," WO94/26683, 1994.
 21. Choo, Y. M., LN Harrison Lau, and A. N. Ma. "Extraction of vitamin E, phytosterols and squalene from palm oil." (2006).
 22. Buccioli, Fabio, Scholz, Gertraud, Scholz, Hans Jürgen and Cravotto, Giancarlo. "Microwave-assisted protocol for squalene isolation and conversion from oil-deodoriser distillates" *Green Processing and Synthesis*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2019, pp. 856-864. <https://doi.org/10.1515/gps-2019-0056>
 23. Ali, Ali Muhammed Moula, Sri Charan Bindu Bavisetty, ThummanoonProdpran, and SoottawatBenjakul. "Squalene from fish livers extracted by ultrasound- assisted direct in situ saponification: purification and molecular characteristics." *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society* 96, no. 9 (2019): 1059-1071.
 24. Onur Ketenoglu, Kubra Sahin Ozkan, Asli Yorulmaz, Aziz Tekin, Molecular distillation of olive pomace oil – Multiobjective optimization for tocopherol and squalene, *LWT*, Volume 91, 2018, Pages 198-202, ISSN 0023-6438, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2018.01.051>.

25. B., Sangeetha & S., Krishnamoorthy & Renukadevi, P. & Malathi, varagurganesan & Jeya, Dhurubatha & Sharmila, Sundra. (2023). Detection of Squalene compound in *Ganoderma lucidum* through thin-layer Chromatography (TLC). 257.
26. Bhattacharjee, P., Shukla, V. B., Singhal, R. S., & Kulkarni, P. R. (2001). Studies on fermentative production of squalene. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 17, 811-816.
27. Limei Wu, Jingjing Zhao, Lihua Wu, Yuhuan Zhang, Jianke Li, Simultaneous determination of squalene, tocopherols and phytosterols in edible vegetable oil by SPE combined with saponification and GC-MS, *LWT*, Volume 169, 2022, 114026, ISSN 0023-6438, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2022.114026>.
28. Bavisetty SC, Narayan B. An improved RP-HPLC method for simultaneous analyses of squalene and cholesterol especially in aquatic foods. *J Food Sci Technol*. 2015 Sep;52(9):6083-9. doi: 10.1007/s13197-015-1793-4. Epub 2015 Mar 12. PMID: 26345033; PMCID: PMC4554670.

UNDER PEER REVIEW