

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJSSPN_117626
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioinoculation effect of Frankia sp. and microbial bioagents on growth and development of <i>Elaeagnus latifolia</i> L. seedlings: An endangered plant species of North East India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for scientific community, knowing that the application of beneficial microorganisms as bio inoculants appears as an environmentally friendly biotechnological tool for sustainable agricultural practices. So, the present investigation has been undertaken to explore the beneficial microflora such as actinorrhizal, endomycorrhizal and endophytic associated with <i>Elaeagnus latifolia</i> L. rhizosphere and analysed for their effect of bioinoculation of selected microbial bioagents on growth parameters i.e. increase in length, diameter, circumference, Sturdiness quotient, Biovolume index and Plastochron interval index of the target plant species. 2. Yes, the title of the abstract is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The text is clear and easy to read. 6. The list of references is large and recently. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dumitru Gabriela
Department, University & Country	Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania