

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJRROP_118091
Title of the Manuscript:	Sebaceous Carcinoma of the Eyelid; a pseudochalazion entity :A Case Report and Review of the Literature
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor Revision Comments:</p> <p>1. Abstract: - Ensure proper capitalization and spacing in the keywords section: "Early diagnosis,Chalazion" should be "early diagnosis, chalazion". - Consider adding a concluding sentence in the abstract to summarize the key findings or recommendations from the case and literature review.</p> <p>2. Introduction: - Correct the spacing and punctuation issues: "originating from meibomian or the adnexal epithelium of sebaceous glands(2)." should be "originating from the meibomian or adnexal epithelium of sebaceous glands (2)." - Rephrase for clarity: "blepharo-conjunctivitis" should be "blepharoconjunctivitis". - Fix the in-text citations to be consistent and properly spaced: "(3)(4)(5)" should be "(3, 4, 5)".</p> <p>3. Methods: - Correct the spacing issue: "a42-year-old" should be "a 42-year-old". - Add clarity and detail to the methods section. It currently reads more like an introduction.</p> <p>4. Case Report: - Ensure consistent spacing and formatting: "1.8 cmChalazion-like" should be "1.8 cm chalazion-like". - Clarify ambiguous sentences: "The pathology results confirmed the presence of a sebaceous carcinoma measuring with clear margins." should specify the size or relevant details. - Verify the formatting of medical terms and ensure they are consistently presented.</p> <p>5. Discussion: - Improve readability by splitting long sentences: "In over 50% cases, sebaceous carcinoma(SC) may present as a pseudochalazion or a chronic blepharoconjunctivitis.(3)(5)(8)(9)" can be split for clarity. - Fix citation formatting: "pseudochalazion or a chronic blepharoconjunctivitis.(3)(5)(8)(9)" should</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>be "pseudochalazion or chronic blepharoconjunctivitis (3, 5, 8, 9)".</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Ensure all referenced points are clearly explained, such as the importance of deep biopsies and specialized histological stains. <p>6. Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The conclusion should succinctly summarize the key points and provide a clear takeaway for the reader.- Ensure it aligns with the findings and discussions provided earlier in the article. <p>7. References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Ensure all references are formatted correctly and consistently.- Verify that all cited references are up-to-date and relevant.	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the article provides valuable insights into PSC, emphasizing the importance of early diagnosis and appropriate treatment. Minor revisions in grammar, formatting, and clarity will enhance the readability and professionalism of the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Shanthy
Department, University & Country	B.S. Abdur Rahman Crescent Institute of Science and Technology, India