

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_AJRROP_118091</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Sebaceous Carcinoma of the Eyelid; a pseudochalazion entity :A Case Report and Review of the Literature</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript and the case reported is important for the scientific community as it is a rare presentation and diagnosis can be missed if not evaluated properly.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable and appropriate</p> <p>abstract of the article is not comprehensive. Abstract should be a brief review of the whole article. The last sentence mentioned in the abstract is not appropriate.</p> <p>Methods need not be mentioned as this is a case report and not an original research article. Rest of the manuscript subsections are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and most of them are recent. No further suggestions for addition of references but words like pubmed, google scholar etc can be removed from the references.</p> <p>Additional comments: 1) I find a discrepancy in the images and the case report. In the case. It is mentioned as right upper eyelid whereas the 1<sup>st</sup> picture of C in the images looks like a left eyelid. 2) In the case report subsection- details of the surgery and follow up and biopsy report if mentioned would be appropriate. 3) Any histopathological slide of the specimen if available can be added to the images.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Language is ok but some spelling corrections need to be done and placement of punctuation marks needs to be appropriate.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Nil</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nikitha Sriramaneni</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ramaiah Medical College, India</b>