

**Original Research Article**  
**A Descriptive-Correlational Study on the Relationship  
between English Proficiency and Reading  
Comprehension of Elementary Pupils**

---

**ABSTRACT**

This descriptive-correlational study aimed to determine the relationship between the English proficiency and reading comprehension of the Grade 6 pupils at an elementary school in the province of Davao Occidental. Specifically, it aimed to determine the level of English proficiency of the respondents in terms of grammar and vocabulary and their level of reading comprehension. With the use of complete enumeration, there were 20 Grade 6 pupils selected as respondents of the study. A validated questionnaire was used to assess the level of students' English proficiency and the level of students' reading. The data were analyzed using mean and Pearson-r correlation analysis.

Results revealed that the level of English proficiency of the respondents is proficient. This implies that the pupils show great understanding and application of the English language. Also, the level of reading comprehension of the respondents is described as high, indicating that they have strong understanding and reading comprehension abilities. Further, the result revealed that there is no significant relationship between the English proficiency and reading comprehension of the Grade 6 pupils. It is recommended that further studies on other factors that affect the reading comprehension of elementary learners should be conducted.

*Keywords: English proficiency, reading comprehension, elementary pupils, Philippines.*

**1. INTRODUCTION**

The COVID-19 pandemic has completely changed the educational system in many countries worldwide (Reimer, 2021), which caused the interruption of face-to-face classes (Kuhfeld, 2021). Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, educators and parents have sought the best way to continue formal education through a combination of both printed modular distance learning and virtual learning (Daniel, Hodges & Reich, 2020). However, most students in schools located in far-flung areas opted to utilize modules in learning, which required them to read independently. This situation highlighted the importance of reading comprehension.

However, according to the Ministry of Finance (2012), students' reading comprehension is below their grade level. Alarming, PISA results showed that the Philippines ranked lowest in reading comprehension, meaning Filipino students have reading comprehension difficulties (Philstar.com, 2019).

Many educators agreed that proficiency in the English language is the foundation for the students' success in academic endeavors, and English language proficiency is an avenue to which a desirable academic performance is achieved (Aina, Ogundele, & Olanipekun, 2013). Hence, a person who is not proficient in the English language may not have access to the world's scientific and technological discoveries that are predominantly written in English. Moreover, English is the primary language spoken

**Commented [A1]:** Please elaborate more about reading and its importance .

**Commented [A2]:** Consider to replace the conjunction.

**Commented [A3]:** Please make some boundaries about English language proficiency in this study. Does it include four main skills? This will lead the readers to have comprehensive understanding after they read your result and discussion.

in schools and businesses, placing a language barrier between linguistically diverse students and academic achiever (Drake, 2014). On the other hand, many empirical studies indicate that English proficiency plays a crucial role for students in completing their studies in English-medium institutions, especially for those students whose first language is not English (Li, 2010). Learners are unable to read and understand because of their low proficiency in the English language, incompetency in vocabulary knowledge, pronunciation and accent, grammar, listening and speaking (Khan, 2019; Schmitt, 2020; Shahbaz & Khan, 2017).

**Commented [A4]:** Which studies do you mean? Please mention. You only provide one.

**Commented [A5]:** It is better to mention, in what ways English proficiency plays a crucial role? Please provide an example.

**Commented [A6]:** This sentence doesn't support your previous argument which explains about the role of English proficiency.

With this, the researchers are interested in determining the relationship between English proficiency and students' reading comprehension. The research was not yet conducted in the chosen locale which is the Upper Ticolon Elementary School. However, in Malita Davao Occidental, according to the Grade 6 classroom adviser of the proposed respondents in Upper Ticolon Elementary School, the problems in reading comprehension occurred because the students failed to understand the texts, limited vocabulary mastery, and the change of ways of teaching during the COVID-19 pandemic where the teacher had limited opportunities to help the students improve their reading comprehension. This study will provide information to develop students' reading comprehension skills.

**Commented [A7]:** Please mention directly, in which setting have you conducted this study? If you write this, the readers will confuse about your setting you focus on.

## 2. METHODS

The researchers employed descriptive correlational research design to describe the relationship between English proficiency and reading comprehension. Descriptive since it will examine the objectives of the study, particularly: (1) determine the level of English proficiency of the respondents in terms of Grammar and vocabulary and (2) determine the level of reading comprehension of the respondents. It is correlational since it will assess the relationship between the two variables, English proficiency, and reading comprehension.

**Commented [A8]:** In this part you did not mention who is your participant and how do you choose them? In addition, you also did not mention about how to analyze the data. Meanwhile, in your abstract you explain about it.

Please justify how can students be in high and proficient category. Can you provide interpretation table? Can you provide your hypothesis?

The study employed a validated questionnaire, which was used to measure the respondents' English proficiency and reading comprehension. It was composed of two parts: Part I is the English proficiency test, and Part II is the reading comprehension test. The English proficiency test was composed of an English grammar test (10 items) and an English vocabulary test (10 items). Hence, the reading comprehension test was composed of (10) items. Furthermore, this instrument was answered by selecting the correct answer that corresponds to the given questions or through a multiple-choice test.

**Commented [A9]:** Please consider the tone of your writing. Since this is an academic article, formal tone is a must. Please rewrite this sentence to be more coherent and cohesive.

**Commented [A10]:** Please consider to replace the conjunction.

**Commented [A11]:** Which one do you use? Both? If so, please consider to replace the connectors "or".

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The level of students' English proficiency in terms of Grammar and Vocabulary is presented in Table 2. Results showed that in terms of English proficiency, the indicator "grammar" has a mean of 6.85 with a standard deviation of 1.81, and the number of students who are proficient in grammar is 10. The result of the study agrees with the findings of Diaz-Rico and Weed (2010), which state that when students master all skills of English grammar, they will be able to reach the proficiency level that is required to be able to write and speak efficiently, and as well as to apply grammar consistently. Thus, mastering and applying grammar skills will allow students to enhance effective oral and written English communication, which is required to be proficient in grammar, as well as in the field of education where the English language is necessary.

**Commented [A12]:** Table 2. Level of Students' Reading Comprehension. Please revise it. It will be better you put the table before you explanation.

According to Deng and Lin (2016), competence in English grammar is needed to achieve the goal of reaching the proficiency level in grammar and being proficient in the English language at the same time. Furthermore, according to Costley and Nelson (2013), implementing features of written and spoken grammar in class will help to improve the effectiveness of learning and applying grammar consistently.

However, the study's results oppose Akbari's (2014) findings, which state that many students consider mastery of grammar a huge difficulty because they are not proficient in grammar and cannot recognize all

the elements of a sentence. Thus, many students have a poor attitude toward grammar, causing a lack of knowledge of grammar (Hutchinson et al., 2012). According to Richards and Schmidt (2013), some researchers agree that poor proficiency in grammar can hinder the improvement of the English language.

Moreover, the indicator "vocabulary" has a mean of 6.90 with a standard deviation of 1.17, and the number of students who are proficient in vocabulary is 15. The result of the study agrees with the findings of Gardner (2013), which states that students who are proficient in vocabulary are able to communicate effectively, perform successfully, and apply vocabulary consistently. Thus, it is important that students have a higher level of proficiency in vocabulary in order to learn new vocabulary words and can have retention of unfamiliar words (Kim, 2011). A study by Nation (2011) emphasized that students who are proficient in vocabulary and have a wide-ranging vocabulary demonstrate correct usage and consistent application of vocabulary in the English language. According to Lee and Kim (2021), extensive reading, particularly reading authentic texts and storybooks, significantly contributes to achieving a proficiency level in vocabulary. Studies have shown that students who engage in regular independent reading demonstrate higher levels of proficiency in vocabulary and foster consistency in applying vocabulary in the English language (Cunningham & Stanovich, 2011).

However, the result of the study opposes the findings of Wu (2013), which states that among the indicators of English proficiency, students have difficulty when it comes to vocabulary because students did not adopt more engaging teaching pedagogies that would help them to be proficient in vocabulary. Thus, students are confused when they encounter highly academic words and unfamiliar words that are presented in a specific context and fail to grasp their meaning because they are low proficient in vocabulary (Baeta, 2012). According to Nayan and Krishnasamy (2015), students' poor and limited vocabulary results in inconsistent application of vocabulary in the English language. Low proficiency in vocabulary was the main obstacle students faced in using and applying vocabulary consistently; this is confirmed by Altalhab (2017), who argues that poor or low proficiency in vocabulary might lead students to difficulty in applying vocabulary efficiently and understanding or comprehending texts effectively.

Overall, the level of students' English proficiency has a mean of 6.88 with a standard deviation of 1.28, and the number of proficient students is 14. This means that students show great understanding and application of the English language. The result of the study agrees with the findings of Lindholm-Leary (2014), which states that English learners require several grades or years to be rated as proficient. Also, he reported that a substantial number of English learners can take five to seven years to achieve proficiency in English. According to Baker and Westrup (2013), students with higher English proficiency levels consistently understand and apply the English language effectively in both written and oral communication. Thus, Li and Zheng (2021) observed that students who are proficient in English exhibited great understanding and application of the English language. Research suggests that students who are immersed in an English-speaking environment tend to achieve higher proficiency levels compared to those with limited exposure to the English language (Cheng, 2015).

However, the result of the study opposes the findings of Abdala and Mustafa (2015), who state that speaking proficiently in English is difficult and worrisome for students. Thus, he also reported that students are low proficient in English because of having little exposure to the English-speaking environment and a lack of knowledge about the English language. According to Xie (2020), students' lack of English proficiency is due to limited grammatical structures, inadequate vocabulary, and insufficient linguistic repertoire. Latha and Rames (2012) demonstrated that family literacy determined the success or failure of students' proficiency in the English language. The study by Forey (2016) found that due to the lack of support from family and peers, the students were unable to make progress in achieving higher levels of proficiency, resulting in a lack or poor proficiency in the English language.

**Table 1. Level of Students' English Proficiency**

PARTICULAR	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	NUMBER OF PROFICIENT STUDENTS	DESCRIPTION
Grammar	6.85	1.81	10	Proficient
Vocabulary	6.90	1.17	15	Proficient
English Proficiency (Overall)	6.88	1.28	14	Proficient

The level of students' reading comprehension is presented in Table 3. Results showed that reading comprehension has a mean of 7.15 with a standard deviation of 1.23, and the number of students who obtained high in reading comprehension is 13. It implies that the students have strong understanding and reading comprehension abilities. The result of the study agrees to the findings of Krashen (2014) which states that research has shown that students who read for pleasure have higher levels of comprehension than those who do not. Thus, students with high reading comprehension tend to understand what they are reading and have strong reading comprehension abilities (McKee, 2012). High level of reading comprehension helps students to understand and draw conclusions pertaining to what is appropriate or valuable in the written text (McLaughlin, 2012). According to Roomy and Alhawsawi (2019), students who have higher levels of reading comprehension are more engaged into reading, reflecting, critical thinking, and develops attentiveness which eventually results to high level of reading comprehension.

However, the result of the study opposes to the findings of Taj (2017) which states that learners tend to have lower level of reading comprehension due to several factors like lack of motivation and reading engagement. Swan (2015) confirmed that students who have low comprehension can't understand what they read and have limited understanding and reading comprehension abilities. According to Protacio (2017), students who have low levels of reading comprehension were not engaged into reading, and don't have motivation to read, learn, and participate in social activities that promotes reading, use learning strategies while reading, and developing or understanding meaning from texts and passages that they are reading.

**Table 2. Level of Students' Reading Comprehension**

PARTICULAR	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	NUMBER OF STUDENTS	DESCRIPTION
Reading Comprehension	7.15	1.23	13	High

The Table 4 shows the relationship between students' English proficiency and reading comprehension of students. As reflected in Table 4, a slight positive correlation is found between grammar and reading comprehension with an r-value of 0.20 which means that as the level of grammar increases, the level of reading comprehension also increases. However, the p-value is 0.39 which is greater than 0.05 level of significance, thus, the hypothesis is accepted. Therefore, there is no significant relationship between English proficiency and reading comprehension in terms of grammar.

**Commented [A13]:** Table 3. Relationship between English Proficiency and Reading Comprehension. Please revise it

**Commented [A14]:** There is no table 4

The result of the study agrees to the findings of Morvay (2012) which states that there is no significant relationship between grammar and reading comprehension because studies have shown that students' grammar has no impacts on the levels of their comprehension. However, the result of the study opposes to the findings of Hendriks and Koster (2010) which states that grammar and reading comprehension has a significant relationship because students who are able to use and produce correct grammar are also able to comprehend it and vice versa. According to Grabe (2010), there is a significant relationship between grammar and reading comprehension due to the fact that grammar is a major foundation of higher levels of reading comprehension.

However, there is a moderate positive correlation between vocabulary and reading comprehension with an r-value of 0.45 which means that, as the level of vocabulary increases, the level of reading comprehension also increases. Further, the p-value is 0.05 which is equal to 0.05 level of significance. Thus, based on the result, the hypothesis is rejected. Therefore, there is a significant relationship between English proficiency and reading comprehension in terms of vocabulary. The result of the study agrees to the findings of Mancilla-Martinez and Lesaux (2010) which states that there is a significant relationship between vocabulary and reading comprehension because in order to achieve and raise students' comprehension level, they should have mastery and knowledge in vocabulary. According to Lee and Kim (2021), vocabulary has been positively correlated with reading comprehension, and states that exposure to a wide range of vocabulary affects and enhances students' level of reading comprehension. Furthermore, vocabulary has been linked to improved speaking, writing, and reading comprehension skills (Milton, 2019).

However, the result of the study opposes to the findings of Lawrence (2019) which states that the relationship between vocabulary and reading comprehension was inconsistent and has a low correlation because students' level of vocabulary did not affect the level of how they read and comprehend at the same time. Past studies showed that vocabulary did not contribute to students' reading comprehension and shows inconsistent results of various correlations between the two variables (Logan & Kieffer, 2017).

Overall, the relationship between English proficiency and reading comprehension has an overall r-value of 0.35 which means that as the level of students' proficiency in English increases, the level of reading comprehension also increases. However, the p-value is 0.13 which is greater than at  $\alpha$  0.05 level of significance. Thus, based on the result, the hypothesis is accepted and concludes that there is no significant relationship between English proficiency and reading comprehension among students. The result of the study agrees to the findings of Chen and Lee (2018) which states that English proficiency and reading comprehension has no significant relationship, stating that English proficiency alone did not guarantee higher levels of reading comprehension abilities. According to Tuncer and Bahadir (2014), the relationship between English proficiency and reading comprehension may not be as straightforward as previously believed; the study anticipates finding weak or low correlation between these variables which means that as the level of students' proficiency in the English language increases, the level of their reading comprehension also increases.

However, the result of the study opposes to the findings of Baker and Macintyre (2019) which states that English proficiency and reading comprehension has a significant relationship suggesting that students with higher English proficiency also achieved higher levels of reading comprehension at the same time. According to Gupta (2017), students with high level of proficiency in the English language also achieve higher levels of reading and comprehension abilities, self-confidence, and improved cross-cultural understanding. Furthermore, students with higher English proficiency exhibited improved problem-solving and critical thinking skills, cognitive abilities, and higher levels of reading comprehension skills (Adesope & Lavin, 2010).

**Table 3. Relationship between English Proficiency and Reading Comprehension**

PARTICULAR	R-VALUE	DESCRIPTION	P-VALUE	INTERPRETATION
------------	---------	-------------	---------	----------------

Grammar	0.20	Slight Positive Correlation	0.39	Not Significant
Vocabulary	0.45	Moderate Positive Correlation	0.05	Significant
English Proficiency (Overall)	0.35	Low Positive Correlation	0.13	Not Significant

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings and statistical results of the study, the following conclusions were derived:

The level of English proficiency of the respondents in terms of grammar and vocabulary is proficient. Thus, the general level of English proficiency of the Grade 6 pupils of Upper Ticolon Elementary School is also proficient. This implies that the respondents have a great understanding and application of the English language. Moreover, the level of reading comprehension of the respondents is high. This implies that the students have strong understanding and reading comprehension abilities. Overall, result showed that there is no significant relationship between English proficiency and reading comprehension in terms of grammar, and has a significant relationship in terms of vocabulary. Thus, based on the result, the hypothesis was accepted and concludes that there is no significant relationship between English proficiency and reading comprehension of the respondents.

## REFERENCES

1. ABDALA, M. E., & MUSTAFA, E., 2015. Problems of teaching and learning spoken English in Sudan. *SUST Journal of Humanities*, 16(4), 43-53
2. ADESOPE, O. O., & LAVIN, T., 2010. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the cognitive correlates of bilingualism. *Review of educational research*, 80(2), 207-245.
3. AINA, J.K., OGUNDELE, A.G. & OLANIPEKUN, S. S., 2013. Students' Proficiency in English Language Relationship with Academic Performance in Science and Technical Education. *American Journal of Educational Research*, Vol. 1, No. 9, 355-358.
4. AKBARI, Z., 2014. The Role of Grammar in Second Language Reading Comprehension. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 98, p.122-126.
5. ALTALHAB, S., 2017. The vocabulary knowledge of Saudi EFL Tertiary Students. *English Language Teaching*, 12(5), 55-65.
6. BAETA, E., GALVAN, M., SOLOMO, O., HABER, C., & OSEA, G., 2012. "English language proficiency of first-year college students at Central Bicol State University of Agriculture Pili Campus S/Y20 11-2102". Unpublished Undergraduate Thesis: CBSUA Pili Campus.3
7. BAKER, J., & WESTRUP, H., 2013. *Activities Using Resources-Oxford Basics*. Oxford University Press.
8. CHEN J., & LEE, J. S., 2018. University students' perceptions of English as an International Language (EIL) in Taiwan and South Korea. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 39 (9), 789-802.
9. CHENG, Y., 2015. The reciprocal relationship between compounding awareness and vocabulary knowledge in Chinese in Chinese: A latent growth model study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 440.
10. COSTLEY, K. & NELSON J., 2013. Avram Noam Chomsky and His Cognitive Development Theory. Arkansas Tech University.
11. CUNNINGHAM, A. E., & STANOVICH, K., 2011. Orthographic processing in models of word recognition. In *handbook of reading research*. Volume IV (pp. 259-285). Routledge.
12. DANIEL, S.J., HODGES, B. & REICH, S., 2020. Education and the COVID-19 pandemic. *Prospects* 1:1-6 Direção Geral da Educação-DGE (2015) Metas curriculares de Português: Ensino básico 1.º Ciclo.
13. DENG, F.; & LIN, Y., 2016. A Comparative Study on Beliefs of Grammar Teaching between High School English Teachers and Students in China. Foreign Languages College: Jiangxi Normal University, China
14. DIAZ-RICO, S. & WEED A., 2010. Necessity of Grammar Teaching. *International Education Study*. China Agricultural School: Xingtai, China.

15. DRAKE, T. A., 2014. The effect of community linguistic isolation on language-minority student achievement in high school. *Educational Researcher*, 43(7), 327–340.
16. FOREY, G., 2016. Parental involvement in foreign language learning: "The case of Hong Kong." *Journal of Early Childhood Literacy* 16,no. 3(2016): 383-417.
17. GARDNER, D., 2013. *Exploring vocabulary: Language in action*. Routledge.
18. GRABE, W., 2010. The role of grammar in reading comprehension. In J. Frodesen & C. Holton (Eds.), *The power of context in language teaching and learning* (pp. 268-282). Boston: Heinle & Heinle.
19. GUPTA, K., 2017. Visual dialog. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition* (pp.326-335).
20. HENDRIKS, P., & KOSTER, C., 2010. Production/comprehension asymmetries in language acquisition. *Lingua*, 120(8), 1887-1897.
21. KHAN, H. R., 2007. Problems of oral communication in English among Bangladesh students. *East west university Journal*, 1, 1-18.
22. KIM, Y., 2011. The Role of Task-Induced Involvement and Learner Proficiency in L2 Vocabulary Acquisition. *Language Learning*, 61, 100-140.
23. KRASHEN, S., 2014. Does Duolingo "trump" university-level language learning. *International Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 9(1), 13-15.
24. KUHFIELD M., 2021. Projecting the potential impact of COVID-19 school closures on academic achievement. *Educ Res* 49(8):549–565.
25. LATHA, B. M., & RAMESH, P., 2012. Teaching English as a second language: factors affecting learning Speaking skills. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology(IJERT)*, 1(7), 1-6.
26. LAWRENCE, J. F., 2019. Academic vocabulary and reading comprehension: exploring the relationships across measures of vocabulary knowledge.
27. LEE, J., & KIM, S., 2021. Methodological synthesis of cluster analysis in second language research. *Language learning*, 71(1), 99-130
28. LI, G., 2010. Determinants of international students' academic performance: A comparison between Chinese and other international students. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 14,389-405.doi:10.1177/1028315309331490.
29. LI, J., & ZHENG, Z., 2021. Dadgraph: A discourse-aware dialogue graph neural network for multiparty dialogue machine reading comprehension. In *2021 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)* (pp.1-8).IEEE.
30. LINDHOLM-LEARY, S., & BORSATO, A., 2006. National Academics of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2017. *Promoting the Educational Success of Children and*

youth learning English: Promising Futures. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

31. LOGAN, J. K., & KIEFFER, M., 2017. Evaluating the role of polysemous wordknowledge in reading comprehension among bilingual adolescents. *Reading and Writing*, 30, 1687-1704.
32. MANCILLA-MARTINEZ, J., & LESAUX, N. K., 2010. Predictors of reading comprehension for struggling readers: The case of Spanish-speaking language minority learners. *Journal of educational psychology*, 102(3), 701.
33. MILTON, J., 2019. Predicting international students' academic success with vocabulary and intercultural communicative competence. *Journal of Applied Linguistics*, (32), 83-101.
34. MCKEE, P., 2012. *Reading constellations: Urban Modernity in victorian Fiction*. Oxford Uniiversity Press, USA.
35. MCLAUGHIN, J., 2012. *Exotic memories: Literature, Colonialism, and the Fin de Siecle*.
36. MORVAY, G., 2012. The relationship between syntactic knowledge and reading comprehension in EFL learners. *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, 2(3), 4 15-438.
37. NATION, I. S. P., 2011. *Learning vocabulary in another language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
38. NAYAN, S. & KRISHNASAMY, H.N., 2015. "A preliminary study on vocabulary learning strategies used by the students from the Faculty of Accountancy". *International Journal of Languages, Literature, and Linguistics*, 1(1), 10-14.
39. PHILSTAR, 2019. Parents prefer education through printed or digital modules. *Mod ular learning as preferred distance learning modality*, Philstar Global 15(9), 200-235.
40. PROTACIO, M. S., 2017. A Case Study Exploring the Reading Engagement of Middle Grades English Learners. *RMLE Online*, 40(3), 1-17.
41. REIMER, D., 2021. What happens when schools shut down? Investigating inequality in students' reading behavior during Covid-19 in Denmark. *Res Soc Stratif Mobil* 71(100568):1-5.
42. RICHARDS, J.; & SCHMIDT R., 2013. *Language and communication*. Routledge Taylor and Francis Group: London and New York.
43. ROOMY, M. & ALHAWASAWI, S., 2019. Understanding Reading Strategies of EFL Saudi Students. *English Language Teaching*, 12(6), 33-44.
44. RUBIO, F. & HACKING, J.F., 2019. "Proficiency vs. performance: what do the tests show?" In *Foreign language proficiency in higher education* (pp. 137-152). Springer, Cham.

45. SWAN, C. K., 2015. Effects of online reading strategies and learning styles on reading comprehension of Malaysian tertiary ESL learners (Doctoral dissertation, PhD thesis, University Putra Malaysia).
46. TAH, I. H., 2017. Effect of technology enhanced language learning on EFL reading comprehension at tertiary level.
47. WU, L.F., 2013. "A study of factors affecting college students' use of ESL vocabulary learning strategies". *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 3(19),202-208.
48. XIE, Q., 2020. Teaching English oral communication for China's English minor undergraduates: barriers, challenges and options. *The Asian Journal of Applied Linguistics*,7(1), 73-90 ISSN 2308-6262.

UNDER PEER REVIEW