

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJBGMB_117865
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic stock characterization of freshwater fishes using various molecular markers for the conservation management concerns in the Indian riverine ecology with emphasis <i>Ompok bimaculatus</i>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.YES,AS TO USING NUMEROUS MOLECULAR MARKERS TECHNIQUE FOR CONSERVATION OF HERITY MAY PAVE THE CORNERSTONE FOR PROBABLE FUTURE PROTECTION OF ORGANISMS NEARLY INCLINED TO UNDER THREAT</p> <p>2.YES</p> <p>3.IN CASE OF PARTIAL CORRECTIONS THAT WILL BE CITED LATER, WHICH THAT CAN BE MORE APPROPRIATE</p> <p>4.YES.BUT,THEREIN,IN THIS SECTION ,ENTITLED WITH 'SPECIES IDENTIFICATION BY DNA BARCODING' CAN EVEN BE ALSO MENTIONED HERE VIA 'RFID' TECHNOLOGYCIES.</p> <p>YES,IF THE AUTHOR DESIRING TO COMPREHEND THE TOPIC,IT MAY BE EXPANDED BY NOVEL 'GPS FOLLOW-UP SATELLITE SYSTEMS VIA MICRO ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AIDED ROBOTICS FROM THE VIEW POINT OF MOLECULAR MARKERS.</p> <p>5. GENERALLY SUITABLE.BUT, AT PAGE 13 WITH TABLE-1, CAN ALSO BE REARRANGEBABLE FROM ANY OTHER VARIABLES SUCH AS THE CLASSIFICATION OF GENES AND ITS SPECIAL ATTRIBUTED GENE VARIATIONS AND MUTATIONS.</p> <p>6. ALMOST PERFECT, AS TO COMPLETE TOPIC STRUCTURE OF ARTICLE. AS TO THIS ARTICLE, EVEN THE SUBJECT OF 'OMPOK BIMACULATUS' CITED AT THE SECTION OF MAIN TITLE,BUT IT DIDN'T MENTIONED AT THE CONCLUSION SECTION SPECIFICALLY.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>THIS ARTICLE MAY PAVED THE CORNERSTONE SUCH AS AN EXCELLENT SAMPLE FOR PRESERVATION OF EXTINGTABLE ORGANISMS IN PARTICULARLY WITH AQUATIC ECOSYMTES RELATED TO FISH GENETIC DIVERSITY</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tahir Ölmez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Selcuk University, Turkey</b>