

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJAEES_118134
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-economic impacts of cows' milk production in Mauritius
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes For a number of reasons, including food security, health, and foreign exchange, milk production is crucial in Mauritius. Entrepreneurs in agriculture may find chances in a growing dairy industry.</p> <p>Yes Yes</p> <p>No, I believe.</p> <p>In my perspective, results based on smaller data sets carry a higher risk of error, thus we are unable to draw any meaningful conclusions from the small sample size of 11 respondents, of whom 8 are breeders and 3 are processors.</p> <p>The different reference styles, the exclusion of some references from the text, and the absence of some references from the bibliography require more study.</p> <p>The results are repeated in the text and tables. The same results don't need to be written in text if the table can be understood by itself.</p> <p>You can use bar graphs to see the lowest and greatest values related to your geographic data. This is where bar graphs are used needlessly.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, after a few grammatical errors are fixed.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author should exercise caution when using commas and full stops; in the Rs. section, the usage of commas results in a severely incorrect figure</p> <p>There must be a sufficient number of respondents in this manuscript. How can the statistics on Mauritius' milk processing be concluded based on 3 processor questionnaire?</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dimpee Singh Gonge
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, India