

**Editor's Comment:**

The work received fairly high marks from reviewers. Especially one of the reviews and in fact the comments of the reviewer improve the level of the work. For my part, I find the discussion of the obtained results poor, especially as the title of the work "responser of NPK, Vermiconpost and FYM on ...." suggests that constructive conclusions will be drawn from the work - meanwhile there are practically presented research results.

In my opinion, the paper would be more interesting if the results presented in tables were presented in figures in a different form, i.e. not a comparison of properties contained in the table for a given mixture, but a comparison of given properties for all tested mixtures (comparison not in rows but in columns) - then it would make sense to include figures. Maybe then the authors would also carry out a more mature analysis of the results. For example, comparing the test sample sizes T1 with T3, it can be seen that fertilisation with 50% NPK increases the pore space from 42.15 to 43.98, has little effect on the water holding capacity....., lowers the pH etc.

In my opinion, the authors should try to carry out a scientific discussion of the results obtained and present the results graphically in a form other than a table.

kind regards

Irena Malinowska.

**Editor's Details:**

Prof. Irena Malinowska  
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Poland.