

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Immunology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_AJI_117841
Title of the Manuscript:	Endotyping Non-IgE-Mediated Immunoreactivity to Dermatophagoides farinae: Implications for Allergic Patients.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract is good, if possible then shown in graphical abstract.</p> <p>In methods mention blood collection &amp; leukocyte collection method.</p> <p>In 2.3. Ex vivo Investigation: mention the procedure for adherence assay &amp; calculation i.e. determination of percentage of adherence inhibition using the formula.</p> <p>In 3 result section: mention cross-comparison &amp; interpretation results between both positive and negative control included to validate the result.</p> <p>Some minor corrections are needed.</p> <p>Add recent references.</p> <p>Add Social-economic impact of this study. In this study we can use Quality by design (QbD) approach for more accurate analysis results.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Minor corrections for language quality.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>Article is good and useful for scientific society.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shyam Rangari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>H. R. Patel Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, India</b>