

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_117495
Title of the Manuscript:	Pyro-geographic Management of Flammable Kolkata City, at Risk (2006 – 2023)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, this research is very important to the scientific community. Though there has been similar researches, this manuscript will help build upon the previous researches and project current view or state.</p> <p>The author or authors may decide to maintain the topic because of how technical it is, but the topic is a bit of mouthful and may be unclear to some readers if they are not well versed in the area of study.</p> <p>The abstract should contain a bit of the results that is summary of the results (Abstract: third paragraph).</p> <p>No, the introduction should be followed by aim and objectives, literature review (which is optional), study area (The map should be under the study area not the introduction), method and methodologies and finally the results and discussion.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references are sufficient but the author or authors should consider rearranging it in alphabetical order.</p> <p>The author or authors should provide of brief information on the data used, sources and how the acquisition was made e.g. From the flowchart of the methodology you talked about satellites/ toposheet and made no reference to the satellite or toposheet used. Also source of the DEM data was not stated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript holds a great value in the scientific community but author or authors should carefully consider some minor correction such as punctuation and structure of the manuscript</p> <p>The author or authors should provide output of the geospatial techniques in their result section because it was stated they will perform those processes e.g. is the georeferencing and digitizing. No output was seen</p> <p>The author/s should be consistent.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raphael Kwakye Amaning
Department, University & Country	University of Energy and Natural Resources, Ghana