

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_117007</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Growth, yield attributes and yield of rice as influenced by paddy residue and nitrogen management options under rice-rice cropping systems.</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>REVIEW</b> comments required</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important to the scientific community?</b> (Please write a few sentences in this manuscript) R/ THE MANUSCRIPT DEALS WITH A TOPIC OF GREAT SCIENTIFIC IMPORTANCE FOR THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY, AS IT IS RESEARCH ON THE PRODUCTION OF RICE, A WORLDWIDELY USED FOOD.</li> <li>2. <b>Is the article title appropriate?</b> (If not, please suggest an alternative title) A/ THE TITLE IS SOMETHING COMPLEX AND FULFILLED. SUGGESTING A NEW TITLE; "STUDY ON NITROGEN AND RICE RESIDUE MANAGEMENT IN GRAIN CULTIVATION SYSTEMS."</li> <li>3. <b>Is the article summary comprehensive?</b> R/ THE ABSTRACT WAS NOT WRITTEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES. IT MUST BE REVIEWED AND REFORMULATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE JOURNAL GUIDELINES. DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE FORMAT OF AN ABSTRACT.</li> <li>4. <b>Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> R/ THE MANUSCRIPT WAS SUBDIVIDED FOR ITS PREPARATION, ALTHOUGH THE FORMATTING OF THE MANUSCRIPT DOES NOT FOLLOW THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES. EX. ALL THE TABLES WERE PLACED AT THE END OF THE ARTICLE, AFTER THE REFERENCES WITHOUT ANY INDICATION IN THE TEXT. THE REFERENCES IN THE TEXT WERE ALL PLACED WRONG.</li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> R/ THE MANUSCRIPT IS NOT COMPLETELY CORRECT, ACCORDING TO THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES. IT MUST BE REVIEWED AND CORRECTED IN ANY ERRORS LOCATED FOR ITS POSSIBLE PUBLICATION.</li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> R/ THE REFERENCE WAS 21. THEY WERE ALL TYPED WRONG IN THE TEXT AND MUST BE CORRECTED. IN THE FINAL LIST THERE ARE SOME REFERENCES WITH ERRORS AND THEY SHOULD BE CORRECTED. 21 REFERENCE IN TEXT AND IN THE LIST. DATE: 2000- 2021= 42310 / 21. AVERAGE= 2014 SOMETHING LATE, CAN BE UPDATED.</li> </ol> <p>(In addition to the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) A/ THIS MANUSCRIPT ADDRESS AN IMPORTANT TOPIC. IT WAS WELL PREPARED, BUT WITH SOME ERRORS DUE TO NOT FOLLOWING THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES. AUTHORS MUST CORRECT ANY ERRORS LOCATED AND ADJUST THE MANUSCRIPT TO THE JOURNAL GUIDELINES</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Minor REVIEW comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for academic communications?</b> R/ THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE USED IN THE MANUSCRIPT IS ADEQUATE WHICH ALLOWS</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	COMMUNICATION WITH THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hrnandez</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Havana University, Cuba</b>