

**Site-directed mutagenesis's prospective uses in enhancing
cereal crops**

(Please correct Title style not only small letters)

ABSTRACT

By 2050, there will be 9.8 billion people on the planet, which means that food production must grow by 50% globally. Using conventional plant breeding techniques alone may not be able to meet these increasing demands. A crop's yield, nutritional value, and stress tolerance may all be precisely and quickly improved with the use of genetic engineering tools like site-directed mutagenesis. The most recent site-directed mutagenesis methods—such as genome editing with CRISPR/Cas9—and their uses for crop improvement are covered in this study. A comparison is made between the advantages and disadvantages of various methods. The main goals for improving crops are discussed, such as the genes involved in yield, nutrient biosynthesis, disease and insect resistance, and abiotic stress tolerance. We highlight notable applications of site-directed mutagenesis in crops. We evaluate the field's current problems, including unclear regulations and off-target consequences. Though further study and the creation of policies are required, site-directed mutagenesis holds up a lot of hope for providing future food demands in a sustainable manner. This paper summarizes the potential applications of site-directed mutagenesis in targeted crop development, both in the present and the future.

Keywords: *Site-directed mutagenesis, Gene modification, Off target effect, CRISPR/Cas9, Crop improvement.*

INTRODUCTION

Achieving sustainable food supply for the world's expanding population—which is predicted to reach 10 billion people by the year 2050 – requires improving crop production and nutritional quality (FAO, 2017). To create better crop varieties, traditional breeding methods as well as technological approaches have been applied. But more improvements are required to boost nutrition, increase yields, and provide resilience to biotic and abiotic challenges (Godfray *et al.*, 2010). Site-directed mutagenesis refers to a group of molecular biology approaches that allow for precise, targeted alteration to be made to the DNA sequence of an organism, a possible method for quickly introducing advantageous mutations into crops without introducing foreign DNA (Sauer *et al.*, 2016). In contrast to the random mutagenesis used in conventional mutation breeding, site-directed mutagenesis enables precise, targeted modifications to native plant genes (Mahfouz *et al.*, 2014).

There are presently three different approaches of site-directed mutagenesis that are known: vector-based, PCR-based, and nuclease-based. (Saboulard *et al.* 2006). Through methods like CRISPR-Cas9, genome editing and oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis, scientists may modify certain genes to activate desired features or deactivate unwanted genes (Bortesi & Fischer, 2015). Compared to previous mutant breeding methods, site-directed mutagenesis provides a more accurate and efficient method for enhancing crops genotypes. The potential to precisely modify genes and regulatory components, which allows researchers to modify certain protein functions or expression patterns, is the main benefit of site-directed mutagenesis. Instead of depending on the random mutagenesis and screening methods utilized in conventional plant breeding, this allows for practical advancements (Osakabe & Osakabe, 2015).

Site-Directed Mutagenesis Techniques for Agricultural Enhancement:

Single or multiple sites within the genome can be mutated through the use of site-directed mutagenesis. Till date, three different techniques of site directed mutagenesis are adopted for

enhancing crops. They are vector based, PCR based and nuclease based site directed mutagenesis (Bezie, 2021).

One of the first strategies for site-directed mutagenesis was the use of vectors. These include inserting the target gene into a plasmid vector by subcloning it, mutating the target gene using methods such as cassette mutagenesis or single-primer mutagenesis, and looking for mutants once the bacteria have been transformed (Sarkar & Sommer, 1990). The affordability and ease of use of vector-based techniques are their benefits. But their effectiveness is usually low, and the length of sequence that may be altered is restricted (Ling & Robinson, 1997)



Fig 1: General illustration of vector based technique.

The other approach is the PCR based methods. PCR-based techniques made use of polymerase chain reaction to enable more effective site-directed mutagenesis without the need for subcloning. The megaprimer approach, inverse PCR, and overlap extension PCR are examples of common PCR procedures (Ho et al., 1989). PCR-based methods are simple to use and may alter big constructs with a reasonably high efficiency. However, they call for a number of stages and a unique primer design for every mutation site.



Fig 2: General illustration of PCR based technique.

Site-directed mutagenesis has been transformed more recently by nuclease-based techniques such as CRISPR/Cas9, TALENs, and zinc finger nucleases (Kamburova *et al.*, 2017). Compared to previous approaches, these nuclease-based procedures are more efficient and selective because they cause double-strand breaks at specific DNA target locations. Because of its cost, simplicity, and versatility, CRISPR/Cas9 has had the most impact (Khan, 2018). It has been used on a range of crops to enhance characteristics including fruit quality, abiotic stress tolerance, and disease resistance. Even though they are used less frequently these days, TALENs and zinc finger nucleases may still be adapted for tough target areas and have a high specificity (Wright *et al.*, 2014). (**must be italic**)

Table 1: Comparison of different nuclease- based techniques for site directed mutagenesis.

Features	CRISPR/Cas9	TALENs	ZFNs
Enzyme source	Found in Bacteria and Eukaryotes	Eukaryotes	Bacteria (Streptococcus sp.)
Targeting mechanism	Guide RNA:DNA base pairing	TALE protein:DNA binding	Zinc finger protein:DNA binding
DNA cleavage and repair mechanisms	Single- or double-strand break induced by Cas9	Double-strand break induced by FokI	Double-strand break induced by FokI
Targeting length	Flexible, targets 20+ bp sequences + PAM sequence	30-40 bp sequences	18-24 bp sequences

Rate of mutation (%)	Twenty (20)	Twenty (20)	Ten (10)
Design complexity	Easy, design guide RNA	Difficult, complex protein engineering	Difficult, complex protein engineering
Multiplexity	Easy	Moderate	Limited
Accuracy	High but somehow may have off targets	Less	Less
Transmission mode	RNA/DNA	Protein/RNA	Protein/RNA
Most suitable for	Gene knockout, transcriptional regulation, base editing	Gene knockout, transcriptional regulation,	Gene knockout, transcriptional regulation,
Off- target effect	Moderate	Less	Less
References	Jiang et al. 2013, Cong et al. 2013 Eghbalsaied, 2024	Bogdanove & Voytas 2011, Mahfouz et al. 2011	Urnov et al. 2010, Gaj et al. 2013

Overall, CRISPR/Cas9 has emerged as the most popular site-directed mutagenesis tool for crops because of its reasonable cost, efficiency, and multiplexed editing potential. Through the development of site-directed mutagenesis techniques, which began with vector-based methods and moved to more sophisticated PCR and now nuclease-driven procedures, genome editing has become more precise and efficient.

Utilization of site directed mutagenesis in cereal crop improvement:

With the ability to precisely modify genetic material to increase a variety of desired qualities, site-directed mutagenesis has become a potent tool for agricultural enhancement. Advanced genome editing technologies, especially CRISPR/Cas9, have completely changed plant breeding and speed up the creation of better crop types.

Enhancing disease resistance is one of the main uses of site-directed mutagenesis in crop development. Researchers have effectively created rice crop varieties with enhanced resistance to a variety of diseases by focusing on and eliminating susceptibility genes or introducing certain mutations (Zhou et al., 2015). In the same way, wheat's MLO genes have been edited using TALEN technology to provide heritable resistance to powdery mildew (Wang et al., 2014). By focusing on genes related to plant architecture, flowering period, and hormone pathways, site-directed mutagenesis has been shown to be beneficial in increasing agricultural yield and biomass output (Li et al., 2016).

Another area where site-directed mutagenesis has shown great promise is improving the nutritional value of crops. Researchers have effectively raised the concentrations of important nutrients and elements that promote health in a variety of crops by focusing on important metabolic pathways (Saika, 2011). Another important characteristic that has been addressed by site-directed mutagenesis is abiotic stress tolerance. Through the targeting of genes involved in stress response pathways, crop types with increased resistance to salt, drought, and heavy metal stressors have been created by researchers which ultimately results in the increased yield (Jain, 2015).

The successful use of site-directed mutagenesis in crop improvement has been made possible by ongoing developments in genome editing technology as well as the expanding understanding of molecular biology and plant genetics. But it's crucial to address possible issues about adverse effects, legal issues, and the general acceptance of genetically modified crops. Nevertheless, there is a great deal of hope for maintaining sustainable agriculture and global food security if site-directed mutagenesis is widely used in crop modification.

Table 2: Application of site directed mutagenesis in cereal crop improvement.

Crops	Improved trait	Technique used	Detail	References
Rice	Disease resistance (bacterial blight)	CRISPR/Cas9	Knockout of OsSWEET13 and OsSWEET14 genes	Zhou <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Improve nutritional benefits (High tryptophan rice)	Vector method	Introduction of S126F, Y367A, and L530D mutations into OASA2	Saika <i>et al.</i> , 2011
	Increase fragrance	TALENs	High fragrant rice by knocking of osBADH2 gene	Ashokkumar <i>et al.</i> , 2020
	Improved grain weight	CRISPR/Cas9	Gene knockout	Li <i>et al.</i> 2016a, b
	Yield/biomass	CRISPR/Cas9	Mutations in Gn1a, DEP1, GS3, IPA1 genes	Li <i>et al.</i> , 2016
Wheat	Disease resistance (powdery mildew)	TALENs	Editing of MLO genes	Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	Increased grain number spikelet	CRISPR/Cas9	mutation on either B or D genome	Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2019
Maize	Increased starch contain	Plasmid vector	Lys was replaced by Asn, Glu, or Arg to improve phosphoenolpyruvate enzyme catalytic efficiency	Dong <i>et al.</i> , 1997
	Sterile male maize developed	CRISPR/Cas9	On zmtms5 gene (chr 2 with exon number 5) m	Li <i>et al.</i> , 2017
	Drought tolerance	CRISPR/Cas9	Changing the promoter of the ARGOS8 gene	Shi <i>et al.</i> , 2017
	Heritable genome modification	TALENs	Evaluation of mutation efficiency maize glossy2 (gl2) locus	Char <i>et al.</i> , 2015
Barley	Generating homozygosity in transgenic barley	CRISPR/Cas9	producing homozygous mutants, with the Nud gene knockout resulting in naked grains	Gasparis <i>et al.</i> , 2018

	Improve malting quality	CRISPR/Cas9	Mutated the D hordein gene in the cultivar, 'Golden Promise'.	Li <i>et al.</i> , 2020
--	-------------------------	-------------	---	-------------------------

Challenges and Limitation of site directed mutagenesis:

Cereal crop enhancement using site-directed mutagenesis has great potential, but there are still many issues that need to be addressed.

Off target effect:

The potential for off-target mutations, which could negatively affect agronomic parameters, is a significant disadvantage. Off-target effects are unintended mutations caused by site-directed mutagenesis that occur at genomic locations other than on-target sites. The non-specific binding activity of site-directed nucleases, such as CRISPR/Cas9, may be the cause of this. (Cho, 2014) found that sterility was the outcome of off-target mutations caused by CRISPR/Cas9 in rice genes related to floral development.

Limitation for polygenic traits:

Although site-directed mutagenesis is effective for basic, monogenic qualities, it is still difficult to improve complicated quantitative features that are regulated by multiple genes. It might be essential, but challenging, to combine numerous trait changes (Ali, 2023). Since focusing on a single gene frequently results in only small increases in drought tolerance in rice and maize, polygenic management of complex quantitative traits also presents challenges (Gao *et al.*, 2015). Optimizing methods for multiplex editing can be an active area of research.

Gene characterization:

Targeted mutagenesis depends on identifying and confirming the genes governing advantageous features. However, not all genes that are significant to agronomy have been found and described yet, which reduces the number of possible targets (Scheben *et al.*, 2017). In order to increase the potential for site-directed mutagenesis, it is necessary to carry out ongoing gene identification initiatives.

Intricacies of breeding:

Following the introduction of targeted mutations in elite lines, additional breeding procedures may be necessary to integrate the trait alterations with the best genetic backgrounds and remove any negative effects of the change. When the breeding process needs to be controlled, variety development becomes more difficult and takes a longer period (Oladosu, 2016).

Overall, while site-directed mutagenesis has tremendous potential for crop improvement, overcoming challenges related to precision, regulation, trait complexity, gene discovery, and breeding will be important to realizing its full benefits. Continued technological and biological research is still needed.

Future outlook for the role of site-directed mutagenesis in crop improvement

Site-directed mutagenesis provides tremendous opportunities to improve cereal crops through accelerated, precision breeding. The ability to precisely edit target genes underpinning complex traits offers new possibilities to develop climate resilient and nutrient-rich cereal varieties (Bailey-Serres, 2019). As highlighted in this review, site-directed mutagenesis can potentially enhance diverse traits in cereals related to yield, nutritional quality, biotic/abiotic stress tolerance, and post-harvest characteristics. However, several challenges need to be addressed including off-target mutations, long screening timelines, polygenic control of traits, and delivery barriers in cereals (Modrzejewski *et al.*, 2019). Continued efforts are required to optimize site-directed mutagenesis in cereals by improving editing specificity, multiplexing capabilities, high-throughput screening, and innovation in delivery methods tailored for complex cereal genomes (Lu *et al.*, 2022). Realizing the full potential of

site-directed mutagenesis in cereal improvement requires integrated efforts between scientists across disciplines, industries, policy makers and society. Responsible application built on sound science-based policies will be key to unlock the benefits of site-directed mutagenesis for global food and nutritional security while prudently addressing valid concerns. Overall, this technology holds great promise to accelerate genetic gain in cereals, provided the technical, regulatory and social challenges are appropriately addressed through collective action guided by the spirit of responsible innovation.

CONCLUSION

Site-directed mutagenesis is a powerful tool for genetic improvement in cereal crops, enabling precise and accelerated genetic improvement. It allows for the editing of specific gene supporting complex traits, creating new opportunities for developing climate-resilient and nutritionally enriched cereal varieties. However, challenges such as off-target mutations, long screening timelines, polygenic control of traits, and barriers for efficient delivery in cereals with complex genomes need to be addressed. Continued efforts are needed to improve editing specificity, optimize delivery methods, implement multiplex editing for trait stacking, and leverage speed breeding platforms for rapid screening. Policy considerations regarding regulation and responsible application are crucial for harnessing site-directed mutagenesis for the benefit of society. Overall, this technology holds immense potential to accelerate genetic gain in cereals, provided the technical, regulatory, and social challenges are prudently addressed through collective efforts by researchers, industries, policy makers, and society guided by responsible innovation.

REFERENCES

- Oladosu, Y., Rafii, M. Y., Abdullah, N., Hussin, G., Ramli, A., Rahim, H. A., ... & Usman, M. (2016). Principle and application of plant mutagenesis in crop improvement: a review. *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*, 30(1), 1-16.
- Gao, J., Wang, G., Ma, S., Xie, X., Wu, X., Zhang, X., ... & Xia, Q. (2015). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis in *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Plant molecular biology*, 87, 99-110.
- Scheben, A., Batley, J., & Edwards, D. (2017). Genotyping-by-sequencing approaches to characterize crop genomes: choosing the right tool for the right application. *Plant biotechnology journal*, 15(2), 149-161.
- Ali, A., Zafar, M. M., Farooq, Z., Ahmed, S. R., Ijaz, A., Anwar, Z., ... & Maozhi, R. (2023). Breakthrough in CRISPR/Cas system: Current and future directions and challenges. *Biotechnology Journal*, 18(8), 2200642.
- Modrzejewski, D., Hartung, F., Sprink, T., Krause, D., Kohl, C., & Wilhelm, R. (2019). What is the available evidence for the range of applications of genome-editing as a new tool for plant trait modification and the potential occurrence of associated off-target effects: a systematic map. *Environmental Evidence*, 8(1), 1-33.
- Bailey-Serres, J., Parker, J. E., Ainsworth, E. A., Oldroyd, G. E., & Schroeder, J. I. (2019). Genetic strategies for improving crop yields. *Nature*, 575(7781), 109-118.
- Lu, J., Wang, Z., Jiang, Y., Sun, Z., & Luo, W. (2023). Modification of the substrate specificity of leucine dehydrogenase by site-directed mutagenesis based on biocomputing strategies. *Systems Microbiology and Biomanufacturing*, 3(2), 384-392.
- Ashokkumar, S., Jaganathan, D., Ramanathan, V., Rahman, H., Palaniswamy, R., Kambale, R., & Muthurajan, R. (2020). Creation of novel alleles of fragrance gene OsBADH2 in rice through CRISPR/Cas9 mediated gene editing. *PLoS one*, 15(8), e0237018.
- Bezie, Y., Tilahun, T., Atnaf, M., & Taye, M. (2021). The potential applications of site-directed mutagenesis for crop improvement: A review. *Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology*, 24, 229-244.

- Bogdanove, A. J., & Voytas, D. F. (2011). TAL effectors: customizable proteins for DNA targeting. *Science*, 333(6051), 1843-1846.
- Boliko, M. C. (2019). FAO and the situation of food security and nutrition in the world. *Journal of nutritional science and vitaminology*, 65(Supplement), S4-S8.
- Bortesi, L., & Fischer, R. (2015). The CRISPR/Cas9 system for plant genome editing and beyond. *Biotechnology advances*, 33(1), 41-52.
- Char, S. N., Unger-Wallace, E., Frame, B., Briggs, S. A., Main, M., Spalding, M. H., ... & Yang, B. (2015). Heritable site-specific mutagenesis using TALENs in maize. *Plant biotechnology journal*, 13(7), 1002-1010.
- Cong, L., Ran, F. A., Cox, D., Lin, S., Barretto, R., Habib, N., ... & Zhang, F. (2013). Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science*, 339(6121), 819-823.
- Dong, L. Y., Ueno, Y., Hata, S., & Izui, K. (1997). Effects of site-directed mutagenesis of conserved Lys606 residue on catalytic and regulatory functions of maize C4-form phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase. *Plant and cell physiology*, 38(12), 1340-1345.
- Eghbalsaid, S., Lawler, C., Petersen, B., Hajiyev, R. A., Bischoff, S. R., & Frankenberg, S. (2024). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated base editors and their prospects for mitochondrial genome engineering. *Gene Therapy*, 1-15.
- Gaj, T., Gersbach, C. A., & Barbas, C. F. (2013). ZFN, TALEN, and CRISPR/Cas-based methods for genome engineering. *Trends in biotechnology*, 31(7), 397-405.
- Gasparis, S., Kała, M., Przyborowski, M., Łyżnik, L. A., Orczyk, W., & Nadolska-Orczyk, A. (2018). A simple and efficient CRISPR/Cas9 platform for induction of single and multiple, heritable mutations in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Plant methods*, 14, 1-14.
- Godfray, H. C. J., Beddington, J. R., Crute, I. R., Haddad, L., Lawrence, D., Muir, J. F., ... & Toulmin, C. (2010). Food security: the challenge of feeding 9 billion people. *science*, 327(5967), 812-818.
- Ho, S. N., Hunt, H. D., Horton, R. M., Pullen, J. K., & Pease, L. R. (1989). Site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension using the polymerase chain reaction. *Gene*, 77(1), 51-59.
- Jain, M. (2015). Function genomics of abiotic stress tolerance in plants: a CRISPR approach. *Frontiers in plant science*, 6, 147686.
- Jiang, W., Bikard, D., Cox, D., Zhang, F., & Marraffini, L. A. (2013). RNA-guided editing of bacterial genomes using CRISPR-Cas systems. *Nature biotechnology*, 31(3), 233-239.
- Kamburova, V. S., Nikitina, E. V., Shermatov, S. E., Buriev, Z. T., Kumpatla, S. P., Emani, C., & Abdurakhmonov, I. Y. (2017). Genome editing in plants: an overview of tools and applications. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 2017.
- Khan, M. H. U., Khan, S. U., Muhammad, A., Hu, L., Yang, Y., & Fan, C. (2018). Induced mutation and epigenetics modification in plants for crop improvement by targeting CRISPR/Cas9 technology. *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 233(6), 4578-4594.
- Li, J., Meng, X., Zong, Y., Chen, K., Zhang, H., Liu, J., ... & Gao, C. (2016). Gene replacements and insertions in rice by intron targeting using CRISPR-Cas9. *Nature plants*, 2(10), 1-6.
- Li, J., Zhang, H., Si, X., Tian, Y., Chen, K., Liu, J., ... & Gao, C. (2017). Generation of thermosensitive male-sterile maize by targeted knockout of the *ZmTMS5* gene. *Journal of genetics and genomics= Yi chuan xue bao*, 44(9), 465-468.

- Li, Y., Liu, D., Zong, Y., Jiang, L., Xi, X., Cao, D., ... & Liu, B. (2020). New D hordein alleles were created in barley using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing. *Cereal Research Communications*, *48*(2), 131-138.
- Ling, M. M., & Robinson, B. H. (1997). Approaches to DNA mutagenesis: an overview. *Analytical biochemistry*, *254*(2), 157-178.
- Mahfouz, M. M., Li, L., Shamimuzzaman, M., Wibowo, A., Fang, X., & Zhu, J. K. (2011). De novo-engineered transcription activator-like effector (TALE) hybrid nuclease with novel DNA binding specificity creates double-strand breaks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *108*(6), 2623-2628.
- Mahfouz, M. M., Piatek, A., & Stewart Jr, C. N. (2014). Genome engineering via TALENs and CRISPR/Cas9 systems: challenges and perspectives. *Plant biotechnology journal*, *12*(8), 1006-1014.
- Osakabe, Y., & Osakabe, K. (2015). Genome editing with engineered nucleases in plants. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, *56*(3), 389-400.
- Saboulard, D., Dugas, V., Jaber, M., Broutin, J., Souteyrand, E., Sylvestre, J., & Delcourt, M. (2006). High-throughput site-directed mutagenesis using oligonucleotides synthesized on DNA chips. *Biotechniques*, *39*(3), 363-368.
- Saika, H., Oikawa, A., Matsuda, F., Onodera, H., Saito, K., & Toki, S. (2011). Application of gene targeting to designed mutation breeding of high-tryptophan rice. *Plant physiology*, *156*(3), 1269-1277.
- Sarkar, G., & Sommer, S. S. (1990). The " megaprimer" method of site-directed mutagenesis. *Biotechniques*, *8*(4), 404-407.
- Sauer, N. J., Mozoruk, J., Miller, R. B., Warburg, Z. J., Walker, K. A., Beetham, P. R., ... & Gocal, G. F. (2016). Oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis for precision gene editing. *Plant biotechnology journal*, *14*(2), 496-502.
- Shi, J., Gao, H., Wang, H., Lafitte, H. R., Archibald, R. L., Yang, M., ... & Habben, J. E. (2017). ARGOS 8 variants generated by CRISPR-Cas9 improve maize grain yield under field drought stress conditions. *Plant biotechnology journal*, *15*(2), 207-216.
- Urnov, F. D., Rebar, E. J., Holmes, M. C., Zhang, H. S., & Gregory, P. D. (2010). Genome editing with engineered zinc finger nucleases. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *11*(9), 636-646.
- Tang, X., Liu, G., Zhou, J., Ren, Q., You, Q., Tian, L., ... & Zhang, Y. (2018). A large-scale whole-genome sequencing analysis reveals highly specific genome editing by both Cas9 and Cpf1 (Cas12a) nucleases in rice. *Genome Biology*, *19*, 1-13.
- Wang, Y., Cheng, X., Shan, Q., Zhang, Y., Liu, J., Gao, C., & Qiu, J. L. (2014). Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable resistance to powdery mildew. *Nature biotechnology*, *32*(9), 947-951.
- Wang, W., Pan, Q., Tian, B., He, F., Chen, Y., Bai, G., ... & Akhunov, E. (2019). Gene editing of the wheat homologs of TONNEAU 1-recruiting motif encoding gene affects grain shape and weight in wheat. *The Plant Journal*, *100*(2), 251-264.
- Wright, D. A., Li, T., Yang, B., & Spalding, M. H. (2014). TALEN-mediated genome editing: prospects and perspectives. *Biochemical Journal*, *462*(1), 15-24.
- Zhou, J., Peng, Z., Long, J., Sosso, D., Liu, B. O., Eom, J. S., ... & Yang, B. (2015). Gene targeting by the TAL effector PthXo2 reveals cryptic resistance gene for bacterial blight of rice. *The Plant Journal*, *82*(4), 632-643.

UNDER PEER REVIEW