

**ReviewForm 1.7**

Journal Name:	AsianJournalofSoilScienceandPlantNutrition
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJSSPN_115909
TitleoftheManuscript:	Effectofdifferentmacroandmicronutrientuptakeon soilandplantincauliflower(Brassicaoleraceavar.botrytisL.)cv.PusaSharad
TypeoftheArticle	Research

**PART 1: ReviewComments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>No</b>, there is no new information provided</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>NO:</b> In the first 2 lines is missing a clear reason for the experiment. It needs a adequate description of methods and results, hence add first the treatments applied and then the results only indicating T1, T2 etc. finally add a clear conclusion and possibly an interesting discovery</p> <p>The sections are in order, however, there is practically no discussion section, hence need to improve this part explaining deeply how the addition of micronutrients increase the Uptake of macro and micronutrients</p> <p>No, as stated before there is no new information provided, in addition there are macro and micro nutrients missing for this study such as, Ca, Mg, S, Fe, Mn and Cu. The authors did not mention why they chose only some nutrients to study neither the amount of nutrients decided for the treatments. They didn't show the soil analysis which for this study is very important, as well they didn't mention at what depth the soil sample was taken neither the apparent density of soil etc. There is no information of the root system growth which probably may explain the increased nutrient uptake of some nutrients.</p> <p>In addition, the tables do not show literal or letters for mean separation among treatments so do not know if there is a significant difference and particularly in which ones among of them. The figures apart from not showing literal either for means separation there are not standard error bars which provide important visual information for the reader</p> <p>The references are only around 14% recent, within 10 years or less, the other 86% are not recent. Regarding this topic authors can find a lot of recent information, I suggest to at least include 50% of recent literature. In addition, authors need to check all the citations because there are many of them included in the reference section but they are not in the text such as Ahmed et al 2001, A in et al 2003 and Hemphill et al 1982 among others. As well there are citations in the text and they are not in the reference sections such as Gupta 1990.</p>	

**ReviewForm 1.7**

**Minor** REVISION comments

**1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**

It is ok, however I highly recommend that this manuscript be read by a native English speaker

[ReviewForm 1.7](#)

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I highly recommend to add page and line number to the manuscript; hence it is easier to reference suggestions	
----------------------------------	---	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jose Antonio Gonzalez Fuentes</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agrarian Autonomous University Antonio Narro, México</b>