

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Original Manuscript_IJPSS_117911
Title of the Manuscript:	<del>Effect of Intercropping with spices on Growth, Yield and Quality of Gladiolus (Gladiolus spp.)</del> <b>Gladiolus intercropping with coriander, fenugreek and dill enhanced the growth and yield parameters of gladiolus.</b>
Type of the Article	Original Research article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it will improve the economics of gladiolus yield and the business.</p> <p><b>No: Gladiolus intercropping with coriander, fenugreek and dill enhanced the growth and yield parameters of gladiolus.</b></p> <p>A lot of details are given which hampered readability.</p> <p>Too lengthy and not precise.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>References are adequate, but one not cited in the text,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Common names are written with lower case.</li> <li>2. All tables in the research report must be numbered and must be mentioned in the text.</li> <li>3. Authors must present their data and then infer that their results are similar/dissimilar to other scientific work, but not directly discuss other's work.</li> <li>4. Reference 18 was inappropriate.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Very poor English.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Authors should make sure that they correct the names of plants in lower case.</li> <li>2. Authors are strongly recommended to learn to interpret their data.</li> </ol>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rajya Lakshmi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Marey Academy, Canada</b>