

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International STD Research &amp; Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Original Manuscript_I-SRR_118000</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND USAGE OF FEMALE ORAL CONTRACEPTIVE PILLS AMONG FEMALE HAWKERS IN THE CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT, KUMASI, GHANA</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This study provides valuable insights into the knowledge, attitudes, and usage of oral contraceptive pills among female street hawkers in Kumasi, Ghana. The paper is well-written and structured, it has a relevant focus, methodological rigor, and cultural sensitivity. Since the authors used interviews to collect data, the authors could include testimonies from the participants, complementing the quantitative analysis with qualitative data, which would strengthen the study. However, the paper needs minor revisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It is not clear what are the two research questions;</li> <li>- What theoretical reference did the authors use to guide coding during the data analysis? Please, include a reference on 2.7.</li> <li>- Table 3, it is not clear in "Mode of usage of OCPs – before sexual intercourse" if it is referring to a daily basis/consistent use or if it is literally before sexual intercourse. Also, what do you mean by "during sexual intercourse"? and "After sexual intercourse" – do you mean morning-after pill?</li> <li>- Figures 1, 2, and 3 should be in the results section</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marta Graça</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Coimbra Institute for Legal Research, University of Coimbra, Portugal</b>