

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_117469
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating Plant-Based Meat Analogues: Challenges and Strategies for Consumer Acceptance
Type of the Article	Review paper

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. It is observed throughout the paper that previous researches have shown significant importance of plant based meat analogues since it provides a substitute of meat and less harmful for environment as well.</p> <p>2. Title should give a hint about the nature of study as well. "Navigating Plant-Based Meat Analogues: A Review of Challenges and Strategies for Consumer Acceptance".</p> <p>3. Yes. Abstract is appropriate.</p> <p>4. Yes. However discussion related to implications of implementation can be added after conclusion.</p> <p>5. As per the given references, it can be established that it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Sufficient and can be added more in discussion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Discussion on the reviewed literature should be added after conclusion. Overall the subject and written manuscript is aligned with the title.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Batool
Department, University & Country	National University of Modern Languages, Pakistan