

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_117469
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating Plant-Based Meat Analogues: Challenges and Strategies for Consumer Acceptance
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is a review article regarding plant-based meat analogues (PBMA). The topic of discussion is interesting. The discussion regarding PBMA is comprehensive, but is not accompanied by a method for conducting a review article.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Abstract need to be explored more to better describe the contents of the manuscript. Abstract and contents of manuscripts do not match.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, it is recommended to add a review article method subsection.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. But it is advisable to use the bibliometric method.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. However, citations do not yet use a numbering style, such as in the references section. It is recommended to use Reference System.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In my opinion, this article may be accepted after a minor revision by the authors.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Endang Retno Wedowati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia</b>