

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_116864
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF DIFFERENT FUNGICIDES AGAINST BROWN RUST OF WHEAT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community around the world, particularly in Pakistan and other countries that rely on wheat as their major cash crop. But the study failed to specifically address the efficacy of different fungicides against the brown rust of wheat. 2. My suggestion for the title is "EFFICACY OF DIFFERENT FUNGICIDES AGAINST BROWN RUST OF WHEAT IN PAKISTAN PUNJAB PROVINCE". 3. The abstract is not concise. I have several queries, such as, "What issues led to this work?" What makes this work interesting or significant? Aim: "What were the goals of this work?" 4, 5, 6. Inappropriate, not scientific and lack of references from recent studies. It needs a serious major revision. My suggestion is to refer to the several articles that have been published in APRJ. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, suitable.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chyntia Jaby Ak Entuni
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Malaysia