

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_117323
Title of the Manuscript:	Student Course Engagement and Academic Life Satisfaction of College Students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript provides a valuable understanding of the relationship between student course engagement and academic life satisfaction among college students. Understanding this relationship is vital for educators, policymakers, and researchers in the field of education as it sheds light on factors that contribute to student success and well-being. The manuscript offers actionable implications for institutions to enhance student engagement and satisfaction, ultimately leading to improved academic outcomes and overall student success. The title of the article effectively encapsulates the main focus and purpose of the study. It communicates the central themes of the research, which are student engagement in coursework and its impact on academic satisfaction. Yes, the abstract effectively encapsulates the main points of the manuscript. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. Yes, the manuscript seems to be scientifically correct. Yes, References are sufficient, some latest references may be used as per APA 7th 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication but could benefit from improvements in clarity, conciseness, and professionalism through streamlining sentences and restructuring complex phrases.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Satisfactory</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahid Rafiq
Department, University & Country	Emerson University Multan, Pakistan