

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_117225
Title of the Manuscript:	BEYOND THE SCREEN: EXAMINING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOCIAL MEDIA USAGE, BODY IMAGE, AND PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING AMONG COLLEGE STUDENTS WITHIN DAVAO REGION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The results are interesting, and the study can makes theoretical, practical, and contextual contributions subject to minor suggestions/ revisions in the research paper and will certainly benefits the readers as a whole.</p> <p>2- The title of the study is unique and interesting, but bit lengthy, suggested to precise and Alignment the title</p> <p>3- The abstract of article is nicely and logically written, covering all component of article.</p> <p>4- The author covers all component of article. The subsection and structure of the manuscript is according to the set pattern. But the discussion part is missing. Discussion can authenticate the study results <i>if inducted before conclusion and recommendations</i></p> <p>5- This study relates to social Sciences, not to real science. Technically manuscript is correct subject to minor revision pointed out to the author.</p> <p>6- Although the references are recent, but some references needs improvement, revision, alignments, and spell corrections according to the APA 7th Manual. (highlighted in original manuscript)</p> <p>7- The article comprises of 54 pages which is astonishing for the reviewer. The literature part is lengthy and many unwanted details are inducted in literature. Literature review portion needs rephrasing and deletions. Literature review part needs major treatment.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Overall the manuscript is well structured and language expression quality meets the expectations. The manuscript needs minor revisions before acceptance/ publication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>After a careful review of this article, I believe that it should be published after minor revisions that are being pointed out in the observation sheet.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Shakoor Rana
Department, University & Country	University of Okara, Pakistan