



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. the msc which is based on a qualitative data analysis, should clarify the methodology and search strategy, inclusion/exclusion criteria, saturation level and experts agreement.2. Again, is this a narrative or systematic review or...? How was made the categories definition...	<p>In the first place, my paper is not a narrative or systematic review. Hence, it is not strict based on inclusion/exclusion criteria and saturation level.</p> <p>The paper plainly describes the proposed indicators for excellent educational system by UNESCO (a valid source). I already clarified the methodology and search strategy by highlighting that first, the author searched the keywords "best educational systems" and related phrases. Then, she listed the factors of an excellent educational system as well as the components of these factors. She also read relevant reading materials by applying strategies like previewing, scanning, and skimming. Using varied sources, she grouped together similar factors and analyzed each factor comprehensively.</p> <p>I could not think of stating the methodology the other way because this paper is not a narrative or systematic review. Thus, I request you as editors, to give suggestions based on your standards. Thank you.</p>