

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_112684
Title of the Manuscript:	Millets: An underutilized grains to ensure food security
Type of the Article	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5.</p> <p>6. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p>	<p>The topic Millet: An underutilized grain to ensure food security appears to be relevant and important for the scientific community, particularly in the context of sustainable agriculture, climate resilience, and addressing global food security challenges. However, This is not a new topic in the research community. It's always a good idea to check for the latest research developments and trends to ensure the information is up-to-date.</p> <p>The author(s) are advised to change the title to "Millets: A Sustainable Solution for Global Food Security Challenges"</p> <p>The abstract provides a good overview of the key points related to millets and their nutritional benefits. In essence, millets emerge as a nutritional powerhouse, demonstrating significant health benefits across various parameters. However, to enhance clarity and readability, you might consider breaking down the information into more concise sentences and organizing it in a logical flow. The author(s) should ensure that the abstract provides a clear and concise summary of the manuscript, highlighting the key objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.</p> <p>The manuscript is informative and covers a comprehensive range of topics related to millets, including their nutritional value, health benefits, cultivation, and their role in addressing food security and sustainability. However, there are some suggestions to improve the clarity and organization of the content:</p> <p>Introduction and Background:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author(s) should consider providing a brief overview or definition of millets at the very beginning for readers who might not be familiar with them. • Break down the long introductory paragraph into smaller, focused sentences for better readability. <p>Section Headings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author(s) should consider breaking down the larger sections into more subsections for better organization and ease of navigation. For example, "Health Benefits and Nutritional Value of Millets" can be broken down into subsections for each health benefit. <p>Data Presentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tables can be helpful, and you've already included some. Ensure they are referred to appropriately in the text, and consider placing them closer to the relevant content. • Figures or graphs can also be useful to visualize data, especially in sections discussing nutritional content. <p>Conclusion and Recommendations:</p> <p>Consider reorganizing the "Conclusion" section to provide a concise summary of key findings. The "Way Forward" and recommendations can be presented as a separate section.</p> <p>The manuscript is not scientifically correct. The following can help to enhance the manuscript's scientific robustness:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that all scientific claims are supported by appropriate and accurate citations from reputable sources. Verify that the information presented aligns with the findings of the cited studies. • Ensure consistency in scientific terminology and measurements throughout the manuscript. This helps maintain accuracy and clarity. • Double-check any data presented, especially in tables and figures, to ensure accuracy and that it corresponds correctly with the text. • Have colleagues or experts in the field review the manuscript for scientific accuracy and coherence. <p>The number of references reviewed is insufficient for a review article. The author(s) need to expand the discussion of sub-sections. Although they are recent, it seems to me that they were copied and</p>	

Review Form 1.7

<p>7. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>pasted from the sources without editing. No consistency!</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure consistent and accurate citation format throughout the manuscript. It would be helpful to include in-text citations for specific claims, especially when mentioning statistics or research findings. • Ensure consistency in terminology. For example, use either "millets" or "nutri-cereals" consistently throughout the manuscript. • Check for consistency in formatting, such as capitalization, punctuation, and spacing. • Review the use of bullet points and ensure they are used consistently for lists. • Review the flow of the manuscript to ensure a logical progression of ideas and a smooth transition between sections. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. The manuscript is filled with grammatical errors, typos, incoherent sentences, etc. The author(s) need to consider seeking feedback from mentors who are experienced in scholarly writing. They can provide valuable insights into language quality and overall coherence. They can also consult with a professional editor who can offer specific guidance tailored to their writing style and conventions.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lawrence Olusegun Ajala
Department, University & Country	Akanulbiam Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria