

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115145
Title of the Manuscript:	Character association and path coefficient analysis studies for yield and yield related components in okra [<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> (L.) Moench]
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Strengths: The study provides detailed information about the location and period in which the experiment was conducted, allowing readers to understand the environment in which the observations were made. The experimental design used is clearly described, including the number of genotypes, replications, and layout of the plots, which facilitates the replicability of the study and the interpretation of the results. The measured variables and the methods used for data collection are detailed, allowing a clear understanding of the aspects evaluated in the experiment.</p> <p>Weaknesses: The authors of the methods used to calculate correlation coefficients and path coefficient analysis are mentioned, but specific details on how these methods were applied in the study in question are not provided. This could hinder the understanding of how the analyses were conducted and the interpretation of the results. It is not mentioned whether validity and reliability tests were conducted on the methods used to measure the variables, which could raise doubts about the accuracy and consistency of the collected data. Although the experimental design is described, there is no discussion on how the specific conditions of the study could affect the generalization of the results to other environments or growing conditions. This could limit the applicability of the findings in different agricultural contexts.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dustin Tahisin Gómez Rodríguez
Department, University & Country	Universitaria Agustiniana, Colombia