

Identification of resistance gene for Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) through RGA markers in resistant mungbean genotypes through RGA markers

Formatted: Font: 18 pt, Bold

Comment [AE1]: According to information provided some of these genotypes have some tolerance to virus infection but no evidence of real resistance is provided.

ABSTRACT

Mungbean is one among the important and major pulse crop for supplementation of protein in subtropical zones of the world. The yield of mungbean is affected by both abiotic and biotic factors. Among the biotic factors, Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) is the major bottleneck for pulse producers. MYMV cause a yellow mosaic disease and its is generally transmitted by Aleyrodidae insects. The virus geminiviruses belonging to the family Geminiviridae and the genus Begomovirus. Fourteen mungbean genotypes procured from Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center (AVRDC), Taiwan, were screened for Mung bean Yellow Mosaic Virus resistance in field and laboratory conditions during Kharif 2022 and summer 2023. Genotypes MYB-6, 7, 8, 9 and 12 were found to be resistant under field conditions by less PDI and AUDPC values. The molecular confirmation of MYMV resistance was tested using Resistant Gene Analog (RGA) in all 14 genotypes. The RGA was amplified at 90bp in resistant genotypes viz MYB-6, 7, 8 and 9. Since MYMV resistant lines MYB-6, 7, 8 and 9 showed resistance in both field and laboratory molecular studies, hence these lines can be used as a YMV donor in breeding process and also can be release as a variety after conducting a multi-location trails in MYMV-hotspot conditions.

Comment [AE2]: Data showed that these genotypes have a low incidence of the virus compared with other cv. However, incidence and severity are recorded and cannot be classified as resistant.

Comment [AE3]: Add what PDI means

Comment [AE4]: Add what AUDPC means

Comment [AE5]: Data showed that these genotypes have a low incidence of the virus compared with other cv. However, incidence and severity are recorded and cannot be classified as resistant. Biological assays including experimental and controlled virus inoculation are needed to confirm a resistant genotype.

Comment [AE6]: This idea must be re-considered since disease levels are no as low as an ideal resistant donor cv. must be

Keywords: Mungbean, genotypes, resistance, markers, yellow mosaic virus

1. INTRODUCTION

Being a short duration catch crop mungbean is widely grown between two principal or main crops in Indian subcontinent. It contains carbohydrates (51%), proteins (24-26%), minerals (4%), and vitamins (3%). Apart from providing protein in the diet, it also has the appreciable quality of helping the symbiotic root rhizobia by contributing to fixing atmospheric nitrogen enriches the fertility of soils. (Lambrides and Godwin, 2007).

The yield of mungbean is affected by both abiotic and biotic factors. Among the biotic factors, Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV), Mungbean leaf curl virus (MLCV), Mosaic mottle virus (BCMV), Urdbean Leaf crinkle virus (ULCV) are of prime importance in reducing crop yield (Qazi *et al.*, 2007). Mungbean yellow mosaic virus is transmitted by white fly (*Bemisia tabaci*) belongs to group begomoviruses with bipartite genomes (Varma and Malathi, 2003).

Breeding offer resistant varieties is considered to be the most important and environmentally friendly approach to come back from several drawbacks which are presently witnessing in the mungbean most of the efficient cultivars are prone to susceptibility over a period of time due to several factors.

Thereby screening of resistant genotypes based on biochemical and molecular approaches are gaining importance. Hence, the present study was carried out to identify the resistance gene through RGA markers in resistant mungbean genotypes.

Comment [AE7]: Introduction must explain what RGA markers are

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Evaluation of MYMV under field conditions

The field experiments were conducted at the experimental plot, Hebbal to identify the potential resistance genotypes against MYMV. Fourteen Mungbean genotypes including one susceptible check control known as MYB-11, and KKM-3, a (UASB released variety,) were screened during kharif 2022 and summer 2023. These 13 genotypes were obtained from Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center (AVRDC) Taiwan formerly, which is known as World Vegetable Centre.

Comment [AE8]: Add more details of geographic location of the field experiment

The Mungbean genotypes were sown with spacing of 30 × 10 cm, with a row length of 2.5 m in RCBD design with two replications. The recommended package of practices was followed for raising the crop. Plants were allowed for natural infection of MYMV. The observations were recorded on symptom expression, disease incidence, severity and yield during the experiment.

Comment [AE9]: Add what RCBD means

2.2 Mungbean yellow mosaic disease incidence

The MYMV disease incidence was scored by counting the total number of plants infected in each row and per cent disease incidence was calculated by using the following formula,

$$\text{Disease incidence (DI \%)} = \frac{\text{No. of infected plants in a row} \times 100}{\text{Total No. of plants in a row}}$$

Total No. of plants in a row

2.3 Mungbean yellow mosaic disease severity (per cent disease index)

Mungbean yellow mosaic disease severity was recorded at 30, 45 and 55 DAS from all the plants from each row. Severity of disease was scored according to phenotypic disease severity scale developed from AVRDC. The mungbean genotypes were categorized based on modified phenotypic disease severity scale of AVRDC. The per cent disease index (PDI) was computed by using the following formula (Wheeler, 1969).

Comment [AE10]: Add what DAS means

Comment [AE11]: Add what AVRDC means

Comment [AE12]: Add more information about this scale

$$\text{Per cent disease index (\%)} = \frac{\text{Sum of numerical observation} \times 100}{\text{Maximum disease scale} \times \text{No. of observations}}$$

Maximum disease scale x No. of observations

2.4 RGA detection

The leaf samples were collected from the 14 mungbean genotypes at 30 DAS from the experimental plot in all the season i.e. kharif 2019 and summer 2020 for identification of the presence of resistant gene analogs in all 14 genotypes by using specific primers.

2.5 DNA extraction from the collected leaf samples

The total genomic DNA was extracted from mungbean leaves. The leaf samples were collected from 15-day old seedlings and utilized for genomic DNA extraction. Isolated genomic DNA was [checked](#) for its purity and intactness and then quantified. The crude genomic DNA was resolved by agarose gel electrophoresis (0.8 % agarose gel) stained with ethidium bromide and was visualized in a gel documentation system (Alpha ImagerTM1200, Alpha Innotech Corp., CA, USA). DNA was quantified by using Spectrophotometer by reading the absorbance at 260 nm. Based on the [quantitative](#) data; DNA dilutions were made in 1X TE buffer to a final concentration of 50ng/μl and stored in -20°C for further use.

2.6 Amplification of MYMV DNA by PCR

The molecular identification of resistance genes in thirteen greengram genotypes for YMV was tested out using a resistant gene analogous (RGA) marker named CYR1. The [genomic DNA](#) was isolated from 5 – 20 days old seedlings of these genotypes by using standard CTAB (Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide) method (Doyle and Doyle, 1990). The extracted DNA samples from 14 greengram genotypes was correlated with the above mentioned RGA markers for the detection of [resistant](#) gene. (Maiti *et al.*, 2011).

2.7 Analysis

The analysis of the obtained data was done using the software R version 3.6.2 (2019-12-12).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1: Expression of yellow mosaic virus disease symptom in mungbean genotypes

Sl. No.	Genotype	Kharif2022(DAS)	Summer2023(DAS)
1	MYB-1	22	21
2	MYB-2	25	27
3	MYB-3	24	24
4	MYB-4	29	27
5	MYB-5	23	25
6	MYB-6	29	31
7	MYB-7	34	29
8	MYB-8	33	28
9	MYB-9	30	29
10	MYB-10	26	28
11	MYB-11*	20	18
12	MYB-12	26	22
13	MYB13	25	24
14	KKM-3	28	27

DAS= Days After Sowing, * Susceptible [checked](#), MYB= Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Bengaluru

Comment [AE13]: This information its about RGA markers and do not explain how virus infection were confirmed in symptomatic plants. In this section, primers used for MYMV detection must be added including PCR conditions

Comment [AE14]: Add References

Comment [AE15]: Add the primer sequences

Comment [AE16]: M&M give information about how this its calculated?

Comment [AE17]: What SI means

Comment [AE18]: Add unit of measurement

The different types of symptoms were identified and recorded in two different seasons i.e. during Kharif 2022 and summer 2023. The initial symptoms appear in the susceptible ~~check control~~ and days taken on 50 percent leaf area affected in susceptible ~~check control was~~ were recorded. From 20 days to 31 days in susceptible and resistant genotypes respectively. The disease has occurred two days earlier during the summer as compared to Kharif season (Table 1).

Comment [AE19]: Improve the sentence for more clearness

Table 2: Disease incidence of MYMV in mungbean genotypes during Kharif 2022

Genotypes	Per cent disease incidence (%)			Mean
	30 DAS	45 DAS	55 DAS	
MYB-1	38.98	46.32	53.32	46.20
MYB-2	42.20	33.22	40.50	38.64
MYB-3	41.42	43.00	45.17	43.19
MYB-4	38.69	41.89	48.39	42.99
MYB-5	24.28	30.21	35.10	29.86
MYB-6	17.16	19.99	21.27	19.47
MYB-7	10.08	11.00	12.08	11.05
MYB-8	14.18	16.87	20.08	17.04
MYB-9	11.11	16.00	18.00	15.03
MYB-10	24.72	37.33	42.10	34.71
MYB-11*	49.83	54.12	65.10	56.35
MYB-12	11.76	18.76	21.10	17.20
MYB-13	30.50	34.20	43.20	67.23
KKM-3	35.29	39.11	40.90	38.43

DAS= Days After Sowing, * Susceptible ~~check control~~, MYB= Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Bengaluru

Table 3: Disease incidence of MYMV in mungbean genotypes during Summer 2023

Genotypes	Per cent disease incidence (%)			MEAN
	30 DAS	45 DAS	55 DAS	
MYB-1	33.54	43.33	48.98	41.95
MYB-2	30.76	42.11	47.71	40.19
MYB-3	34.11	44.80	48.54	42.48
MYB-4	37.12	39.12	52.43	42.89
MYB-5	32.17	40.20	52.66	41.67
MYB-6	20.12	22.67	28.55	23.78
MYB-7	18.22	23.89	29.65	23.92
MYB-8	19.44	25.77	27.13	24.11
MYB-9	16.15	21.98	24.22	20.70
MYB-10	39.33	45.67	49.23	44.73
MYB-11*	64.33	74.66	78.98	72.65
MYB-12	27.22	39.20	43.66	36.69
MYB-13	32.29	40.22	45.33	39.28
KKM-3	41.23	53.66	56.68	50.52

The maximum PDI was noticed in susceptible [check/control](#) (MYB-11) during both Kharif (56.35%) and summer (72.65%). Whereas MYB-7 is having least PDI in Kharif (11.05%) and in summer MYB-9(20.7%) has recorded least PDI. However MYB-6 (19.47%), MYB-7 (11.05%), MYB-8 (17.04%), MYB-9 (15.03%) and MYB-12 (17.20%) recorded lesser PDI, the PDI of other genotypes are shown in Table 2 and 3.

Table 4: Area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) of MYMV in mungbean genotypes over the time period in different seasons based on PDI values

Genotypes	PDI of Kharif 2022	AUDPC	PDI of Summer 2023	AUDPC
MYB-1	40	300.00	34.96	262.60

MYB-2	40.29	602.18	45.09	600.38
MYB-3	48.2	663.68	42.5	656.93
MYB-4	41.76	674.70	41.2	627.75
MYB-5	32.47	556.73	34.1	564.75
MYB-6	26.22	440.18	19.6	402.75
MYB-7	15.96	316.35	18.9	288.75
MYB-8	13.36	219.90	19.8	290.25
MYB-9	19.65	247.58	19.5	294.75
MYB-10	26.47	345.90	40.27	448.28
MYB-11*	59.91	647.85	58.87	743.55
MYB-12	19.75	597.45	20.08	592.13
MYB-13	32.25	390.00	45.58	492.45
KKM-3	26.86	443.33	34.27	598.88

The cumulative disease progress was calculated based on the per cent disease index values which are recorded at 15, 30 and 45 DAS for two seasons. The genotypes showed a varying disease progress in the seasons ranging from AUDPC value 219.9 during kharif and 288.75 in summer respectively in resistant genotype (MYB - 8 and 7) as compared to 647.85, 745.55 respectively during Kharif and summer, in susceptible [checkcontrol](#) (MYB-11). The genotype KKM-3 which is a university released variety with moderate resistance reaction recorded AUDPC of 443.33 in kharif and 598.88 during summer (Table 4).

The used primers CYR-1 [is a are markers](#) linked to the YMV resistance and from the gel documentation picture the bands are obtained in the wells where the MYB-6, 7, 8, and 9th samples were run. [So, these were considered as resistant lines.](#) The YMV resistance lines MYB-6, 7, 8, and 9 were confirmed by both field and laboratory studies so they will be useful for YMV donor in the future breeding process or can be released as a variety after further multi-location evaluations.

[In conclusion the CYR-1 marker is validated in this present study endowed with features of resistance gene candidates may be useful for generating superior genotypes in short duration of time with durable YMV resistance .the marker will be in use of Marker assisted selection.](#)

Comment [AE20]: Add References

Comment [AE21]: According to information provided some of these genotypes have some tolerance to virus infection but no evidence of real resistance is provided. Data provided on disease incidence and severity quantification shows the opposite and discussion must be written about it.

Comment [AE22]: Data showed that these genotypes have a low incidence of the virus compared with other cv. However, incidence and severity are recorded and cannot be classified as resistant. Biological assays including experimental and controlled virus inoculation are needed to confirm a resistant genotype.



Plate 1: PCR amplification of CYR-1 marker in resistant genotypes

L= Ladder (100bp), 1=MYB-1, 2=MYB-2, 3=MYB-3, 4=MYB-4, 5=MYB-5, 6=MYB-6, 7=MYB-7, 8=MYB-8, 9=MYB-9, 10=MYB-10, 11=MYB-11 (susceptible [checkcontrol](#)), 12=YB-12, 13=MYB-13, 14=KKM-3.

The results were supported by Kabi *et al.* (2017) where screening was done against MYMV. The molecular analysis of twenty six different green gram genotypes for YMV using a resistant gene analogous (RGA) marker named CYR1 which produced amplicon at 90 bp in seven genotypes (OBGG-2013-8, OBGG-2013-21, OBGG-2013-16, OBGG-2013-11, OBGG-2013-20, OBGG-2013-39 and OBGG-2013-12) concluded that these seven genotypes have yellow mosaic virus resistance genes? and this marker is an efficient and ubiquitous for genotyping of YMV reaction. OBGG 2013-20 was an YMV resistance and high yielding line which can be used as YMV donor or can be released as a variety. OBGG 2013-34 had 23.88 per cent higher yield potential than best [checkcontrol](#) but moderately susceptible to YMD thus needs further improvement by hybridization with a suitable YMV resistant varieties as reported by (Kabi et al., 2018). Both CYR1 and YMV1 marker showed consistent polymorphism with respect to disease reaction in seven resistant genotypes. CYR1 produced an allele size of approximately 90 bp. which concluded that seven genotypes (OBGG-2013-8, OBGG-2013-12, OBGG-2013-11, OBGG-2013-16, OBGG-2013-

Comment [AE23]: Add molecular weight of bands

Comment [AE24]: This information was confirmed by biological assays?

Comment [AE25]: Standardize mung bean

20, OBGG-2013-21 and OBGG-2013-39) had yellow mosaic virus resistance gene and both the markers were efficient and ubiquitous for genotyping of YMV reaction. OBGG 2013-20 was an YMV resistance and high yielding line.

Tian *et al.* (2010) conducted an experiment through degenerate primers identification and characterization of resistance genes showing varied level of resistance and tolerance to MYMV was carried out for 25 mungbean accessions. Based on multiple sequence alignment, 8 and 69 were polymorphic sites identified for RGA1 & RGA8 respectively. Out of 15 tolerant accessions, 5 accessions produced amplicons for RGA1 primer shows that resistance gene linked with tolerant accessions. Variability in MYMV resistance for RGA1 and RGA8 sequences at genome level were calculated.

The isolation of R genes was achieved with the development of technologies for cloning plant genes of unknown structure or molecular function. Several methods can be use for identifying and cloning genes that are differentially regulated including cDNA –RFLP (Durrant *et al.*, 2000), differential display PCR, and microarray and gene chip technologies (Maleck *et al.*, 2000 and Seo *et al.*, 2007)

4. CONCLUSION

Fourteen mungbean lines were tested for MYMV resistance during Kharif 2019 and summer 2020 under field conditions. Five genotypes viz., MYB-6, 7, 8, 9 and 12 were found resistant to the disease, low severity of disease and low cumulative disease development over the time period. However, KKM-3 (UASB released variety) was found moderately resistant across the seasons and none of the mungbean genotypes found highly resistant or highly susceptible to MYMV.

The molecular marker which is linked to MYMV resistance CYR-1 showed amplification in four mungbean genotypes, i. e. MYB-6, 7, 8 and 9. But it does not showed amplification in the susceptible [checkcontrol](#) MYB-11. RGA22F2/RGA24R2 (CYR-1) markers produced an allele size of approximately of 90 bp .

The YMV resistance lines MYB-6, 7, 8, and 9 were of more promising in both in field and laboratory studies so that they can be used for YMV resistance donor in the future breeding process or can be released as a variety after further multi-location evaluations.

REFERENCES

1. Durrant, WE, Rowland O, Piedras P, Hammond-Kosack KE, Jones JDG. cDNA-AFLP reveals a striking overlap in race-specific resistance and wound response gene expression profiles. *Plant Cell*. 2000; 12: 963–977.
2. KabiM, DasTR, BaisakhB, SwineD. Validation of markers linked to yellow mosaic virus disease resistance in diverse genotypes of green gram. /

Comment [AE26]: Data showed that these genotypes have a low incidence of the virus compared with other cv. However, incidence and severity are recorded and cannot be classified as resistant. Biological assays including experimental and controlled virus inoculation are needed to confirm a resistant genotype.

nt. *J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2018;7(2):3457-3465.

3. KabiM, DasTR, BaisakhB, SwineD. 2 Resistant gene analogous marker assisted selection of yellow mosaic virus resistant genotypes in greengram (*Vigna radiata*). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 2017; **6**(9): 326-345.
4. Lambrides CJ, Godwin, ID. School of land and food sciences, plant improvement group, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia (chapter mungbeans). 2007; Pp 69-75.
5. MaitiS., BasakJ., KundagraniS., KunduAJ., PalA. Molecular marker assisted genotyping of mungbean yellow mosaic India virus resistant germplasm of mung. *J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol.*, 2011; **43**(3): 201-334.
6. MaleckKA., LevineT. EULGEM., MorganA., SchmidJ., LawtonKA., DangJL., Dietrich RA. An Arabidopsis promoter element shared among genes co-regulated during systemic acquired disease resistance. *Nat. Genet.*, 2000; **26**: 403-410.
7. QaziJ., IlyasM., MansoorS., BriddonRW. Legume yellow mosaic Viruses: genetically isolated begomoviruses. *Mol. Plant Pathol.*, 2007; **8**: 343 - 348.
8. Seo YS., JeonJ., RojasMR., Gilbertson RL. Characterization of a novel toll/interleukin receptor (TIR)-TIR gene differentially expressed in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* cv. Othello) undergoing a defense response to the Gemini virus Bean dwarf mosaic virus. *Mol. Pl. Pathol.*, 2007; **8** (2): 151-162.
9. TianD., Tra, MB., ChenJQ., KreitmanM., BergelsonJ. Fitness costs of R-gene-mediated resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature*, 2003; **423**: 74-77.
10. Varma, MalathiVG. Emerging geminivirus problems. A serious threat to crop production. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, 2003; **142**(2): 145-164.
11. WheelerBEJ. An Introduction to Plant Diseases. 1969; John Wiley, London. 301.