

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_117481
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF ANTHOCYANIN CONTENT AND CONSUMER ACCEPTABILITY OF DRIED ROSE HERBAL TEA BLENDED INFUSIONS
Type of the Article	Original article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No very complete, the author should mention the ginger, lemon grass, and other leaves.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>A few of thereferences are from 1954, It's too old</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> and healthier herbal products (Goutam et al. 2018) [8]. There are two types of references, there should only be one. Please choose according to the author guidelines. In the introduction, there is no explanation why researchers want to research rose petals as herbal tea. Please add a clear reason. There have been many studies on rose as herbal tea, why do researchers want to re-examine this? The introduction only focused on rose petal, whereas the researcher actually used red ginger, tulasi leaves, and lemon grass. Please also explain the importance of other ingredients used in the introduction. The authors should include the identification numbers of the plants used. All types of plants must have been identified from a particular institution. The reference of the total antocyanin technique? In DPPH assay, what kind of standard did you use? You should mention in the technique. In the result section, there should be a figure of DPPH assay graph. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liza Meutia Sari
Department, University & Country	Syiah Kuala University, Indonesia