

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_117057</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Schematic Brief outline: Bulimia Nervosa and its medical-based management</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Yes because the topic is interesting and the treatment of bulimia nervosa remains a challenge. No at the same time because the study does not present any advancement, novelty or efficient treatment.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. Yes, it is comprehensive. However, the use of the "your" gives to the reader the impression that he/she suffering from Bulimia... This reappears later in the manuscript.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes, for most of the part. I regret a lack of references for the paragraph about drugs efficiency (page4).</p> <p>6. For a "Schematic brief outline" it could be sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, totally suitable</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Fortunately, the manuscript does not entertain the competition medication against therapy. It highlights the benefit of certain medication (SSRI) and the benefit of certain therapy (CBT). However, for a decade, studies show that the efficiency of recovering become better when the patient receives a combination of both at the same time (SSRI + CBT). The manuscript would gain in quality by mentioning these kinds of scientific results.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Matt Lacoste</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Toulouse, France</b>