

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_119641
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Seed Priming and Fertilizer Levels on quality, yield and economics of Rabi Maize.
Type of the Article	Experimental study article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. Described as Randomized Control Trial experimental methods . This is important as it increase nutritive value with ecofriendly method</p> <p>Appropriate worded included all concepts of title like , variables, treatment.</p> <p>Yes. It included all steps of research methodology in short and meaningful. Correctly mentioned keywords</p> <p>Subsection described as IMRAD (Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion) is a common format</p> <p>Yes. Means by research methodological and agricultural science and English language wise.</p> <p>Yes. Adequate in number, relevant, recent and drafted as per Vancouver style.</p> <p>Well described the treatment and application of statistics. Table and graphs are appropriate and described correctly</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. Most suitable as per wordings, grammar and punctuations. Used local words. Also use the specific English words in bracket (Kharif -corps of rainy season)</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Most useful article Included all points of IMRAD</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Malhar Kulkarni
Department, University & Country	BVDU, India