

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_119271</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp.) for green pod yield and its component traits</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1 The article is important for the scientific community with significant results in studies involving "Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in cowpea (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp.) for green pod yield and its component traits", which will contribute to new work in the future.</p> <p>2 The title is appropriate</p> <p>3 Yes it is correct</p> <p>4 The structuring of the paper is appropriate</p> <p>5 Yes it is correct</p> <p>6 Yes it is correct</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes it is correct</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The paper must be accepted, however some corrections are necessary, which are listed below:</p> <p>a) Put the key words in alphabetical order;</p> <p>b) Add information about the physical and chemical characteristics of the soil to the material and methods, as well as information about whether and when correctors were used in relation to soil pH. Was any type of irrigation carried out? If you don't make this clear in the text; Replace the ha unit with ha-1;</p> <p>c) In the results and discussion tables, format the tables correctly without vertical lines and avoid excessive use of horizontal lines; 10% the correct one is 10% (a space between the number and the symbol.</p> <p>d) Check all citations and references and ensure that they match. Check the rules for authors for references.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marcio de Souza Dias</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Brazil</b>