

Review Form 1.7

S

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119555
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Different Cultivars of China Aster (<i>Callistephus chinensis</i> L.) under Hilly Terrain
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community as it tells about effect of plant growth regulators on two important cultivars of China aster.</p> <p>Yes, the title of article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The authors may include few more recent references of past five years i.e. references of between 2019 to 2024. The authors may include name of scientist and serial no. of references section e.g. Sainath et al. [9]. I have checked some recent papers published in Journal of Advances in Biology and Biotechnology and many authors have written in this way also. If journal format permits, authors may add name of scientist followed by serial no. in reference in the text of manuscript (i.e. in citations).</p> <p>I have written some comments in Review mode in the paper and those minor corrections may kindly be done by the authors.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language of the article is satisfactory for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research article is suitable for publication by the journal. The comments written in review mode may kindly be taken care of by the authors.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sujata Upadhyay
Department, University & Country	Sikkim University, India