

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_118975
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING PROSPECTIVE BIVOLTINE HYBRIDS OF SILKWORM (<i>Bombyx mori</i> L.): A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. It will be more helpful to the further researchers who would be working in bivoltine hybrids and also it suggests a hybrid for seri. rearing community.</p> <p>Yes. As per title the study was analysed comprehensively</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References are enough and recent references were cited. But some citations are missing and it should be cited.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1.Introduction can be crisp 2.Table 1 is missing 3. Citations to be included. 4. The check hybrid was performed well in all aspects, why not other 11 hybrids? 5. how you selected particularly this 11 bivoltine hybrids? Is it most prevailing in J&K?</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saai Vignesh B
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India