

# The Rising Challenge of Multidrug-Resistant Gram-Negative Infections in the Outcome of Hematological Oncology: A review

## Abstract

Bloodstream infections (BSIs) caused by Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) are a significant concern in patients with hematological malignancies (HM), particularly when multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains are involved. This review synthesizes key findings from studies investigating the epidemiology, clinical implications, and management strategies for GNB BSIs in HM patients. The reviewed studies show the heightened mortality risk associated with GNB BSIs, especially in the context of immunocompromised HM patients. Studies highlight the prevalence of MDR GNB, including ESBL, AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamase, and carbapenemase-producing strains, which pose challenges to standard antibacterial therapies. Importantly, the review identifies the need for routine blood culture monitoring, personalized risk assessment, and tailored antimicrobial policies to optimize patient outcomes. Most important MDR groups identified were *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter species*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, *Proteus species*. Future research directions include enhancing surveillance systems, developing innovative therapeutic approaches, personalized medicine strategies, strengthening infection control measures, optimizing antimicrobial prophylaxis, and investigating antibiotic-resistant strains and gene identification.

**Keywords:** Gram-negative bacteria, hematological malignancies, multidrug resistance, mortality risk, antimicrobial therapy, infection control, treatment strategy.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Bloodstream infections (BSIs) caused by Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) in patients with hematological malignancies (HM) are particularly concerning due to their association with high mortality rates [1]. This risk is exacerbated when the infections involve antibiotic-resistant strains, making treatment more challenging and less effective. Patients with HM are already immunocompromised, which makes them more susceptible to severe infections, and the presence of resistant GNB further complicates their clinical management and outcomes [2].

The emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative bacteria presents a critical concern in hematologic oncology, amplifying the complexity of patient management. These resilient pathogens, including ESBL, AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamase-, and carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*, alongside *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, challenge the efficacy of standard antibacterial therapies [3, 22-23]. Patients with hematologic malignancies, already immunocompromised due to their condition and repeated chemotherapy, are especially vulnerable to such infections. The implications are profound, potentially leading to treatment failure, prolonged hospital stays, escalated healthcare costs, and heightened mortality rates. Thus, understanding the epidemiology, treatment options, and mitigation strategies for MDR Gram-negative bacteria in hematology-oncology is paramount to safeguarding patient outcomes and advancing clinical practice [4].

## 2. Prevalence and incidence rates of Gram-negative infections

The study of Dhanaraj & Foppiano highlighted the importance of obtaining follow-up blood cultures (FUBC) in patients with hematologic malignancies who develop gram-negative bacterial bloodstream infections

28 (GNBSI) amidst febrile neutropenia. Conducted at a large urban academic medical center from 2018 to  
29 2021, the retrospective chart review analyzed 47 episodes of GNBSI among 43 patients, predominantly  
30 characterized by acute myeloid leukemia (47%) and treated commonly with the R-CHOP chemotherapy  
31 regimen (19%). The analysis revealed a significant association between the collection of FUBC and  
32 reduced mortality rates at discharge, 30 days, and 90 days. Despite the low incidence of positive FUBC  
33 (5%) and uncommon ESBL resistance profiles (6%), the study underscores that patients who had repeat  
34 blood cultures exhibited notably lower mortality rates compared to those who did not. These findings  
35 advocate for the routine collection of FUBC in managing neutropenic fever due to GNRB, emphasizing its  
36 role in improving clinical outcomes for immunocompromised patients [5].

37 A retrospective study conducted at King Khalid University Hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, examined 61  
38 episodes of Gram-negative bacteremia (GNB) among 56 cancer patients between January 2013 and  
39 October 2015. This study aimed to evaluate the epidemiology, risk factors, and antibiotic resistance patterns  
40 of GNB in patients with hematologic or solid organ malignancies. Among the patients, 54% had  
41 hematological malignancies, predominantly leukemia (77%) and lymphoma (20%), while the remaining  
42 46% had solid tumors, with colorectal (34.6%) and breast cancer (23%) being the most common.  
43 *Escherichia coli* (29.5%) and *Acinetobacter baumannii* (18%) were the predominant pathogens identified.  
44 The study found that 34.6% of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates were extended-spectrum beta-  
45 lactamase producers, and imipenem resistance among *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* was  
46 notably high at 52.4%. Multi-resistant organisms accounted for 43.5% of cases. Significant risk factors for  
47 bacteremia included ICU admission (32.1%), post-surgery status (23.2%), and central line placement  
48 (21.4%). The 30-day mortality rate was 32.1%, underscoring the critical need for careful antimicrobial  
49 selection based on susceptibility testing to manage infections in malignancy patients effectively [6].

50 A prospective observational study was conducted to investigate the incidence, clinical and laboratory  
51 profiles, microbiological characteristics, treatment, and outcomes of infections during induction  
52 chemotherapy in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). The study included children aged 1–14  
53 years newly diagnosed with ALL, treated according to a modified Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster protocol, from  
54 January 2014 to June 2015. Out of 227 patients, 150 infection episodes were recorded among 117 patients.  
55 The major infection sites were the lungs (35 cases) and the gastrointestinal tract (30 cases). Blood cultures  
56 were positive in 45 episodes (30.6%), with Gram-negative organisms, predominantly *Pseudomonas*  
57 *aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella spp.*, being the most common isolates. The response to antibiotics was favorable,  
58 with only 18% of infection episodes requiring a third-line antibiotic. A significant 90.6% of the infection  
59 episodes resolved without sequelae. The overall mortality during induction chemotherapy was 5.3% (12 out  
60 of 227 patients), primarily due to infections. The study concluded that infections are the leading cause of  
61 morbidity and mortality in patients with ALL undergoing induction chemotherapy, but the majority of patients  
62 can achieve good outcomes with prompt and adequate antibiotic treatment [7].

63 A retrospective observational study conducted at RGCIRC in Delhi analyzed culture reports from cancer  
64 patients undergoing treatment over the course of 2013. Out of 13,329 cultures obtained, 23.6% were  
65 positive, with a significant predominance of gram-negative isolates (67.9%). *Escherichia coli* emerged as  
66 the most common gram-negative bacterium (49.4%), followed by *Klebsiella spp.* (29.7%). Among gram-  
67 positive isolates, *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most prevalent. The study revealed a high incidence of  
68 extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) production in blood and urine samples (87.2% and 88.5%,  
69 respectively), as well as beta-lactamase inhibitor (BLBLI) resistance (78% and 83.9%). However,  
70 carbapenem resistance was relatively low (10%), and colistin sensitivity remained high (>95%). The study  
71 concluded that while the prevalence of MRSA and VRE was low, the high rates of ESBL and BLBLI  
72 resistance among gram-negative infections necessitate careful consideration in antibiotic treatment  
73 strategies. Gram-negative isolates showed poor sensitivity to cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones,  
74 highlighting the challenge of managing bacterial infections in this patient population [8].

75 A retrospective study conducted at the Cancer Institute in Chennai examined the prevalence and antibiotic  
76 resistance profile of bloodstream bacterial infections in pediatric cancer patients in 2013. Out of 1,045 blood

77 culture samples, 82 (7.5%) were positive, with Gram-negative organisms comprising 61% of these  
78 infections. The most frequently isolated Gram-negative bacterium was *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (32%), while  
79 *Staphylococcus aureus* (93.5%) dominated among Gram-positive isolates. Notably, there was significant  
80 resistance to aminoglycosides and beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor antibiotics. This study highlights  
81 the predominance of Gram-negative bacteria in these infections and underscores the challenges posed by  
82 high resistance rates to commonly used empiric antibiotics in the treatment of febrile neutropenia [9].

83 A study conducted at a tertiary care cancer hospital investigated bloodstream infections in febrile  
84 neutropenic cancer patients, focusing on multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative bacteria (GNB). Of the  
85 529 blood specimens collected, 195 showed bacterial growth, with 102 (52.3%) being Gram-negative and  
86 93 (47.7%) Gram-positive. Among the Gram-negative isolates, a significant 68.6% were identified as MDR,  
87 predominantly including *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Acinetobacter baumannii*. These  
88 MDR GNB demonstrated high resistance to ampicillin, cefepime, ceftriaxone, and cephradine but retained  
89 good susceptibility to colistin. The most prevalent extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase (ESBL) genes were *ctx-*  
90 *m*, *shv*, and *tem*, while the most common aminoglycoside-resistant gene was *aac(6')-Ib*. Additionally,  
91 plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance genes such as *qnrA*, *qnrB*, and *qnrS* were detected. ESBL  
92 determinants were significantly linked with resistance to ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, amikacin, and  
93 carbapenems. Synergistic effects were observed with combinations like ampicillin/sulbactam plus  
94 ceftriaxone and amikacin plus levofloxacin against several MDR GNB isolates, underscoring the need for  
95 strategic antibiotic use in these high-risk patients [10].

96 A retrospective study conducted over 43 months at a pediatric oncology unit in Eastern India analyzed  
97 bloodstream infections (BSIs) among children with cancer. The primary focus was on mucosal barrier injury-  
98 associated laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infections (MBI-LCBI-1), with a secondary objective to assess  
99 central line-associated bloodstream infection (CLABSI) rates and compare these to the device utilization  
100 ratio (DUR). Of the 47 positive blood cultures obtained, 70% were MBI-LCBI-1 cases and 6.3% were  
101 CLABSI cases, resulting in a CLABSI rate of 0.60 per 1,000 central line days. The majority of isolates were  
102 Gram-negative bacilli (90%), with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* being the most common. High rates of multi-drug  
103 resistant organisms, particularly carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales, were observed. Notably, all six  
104 patients who died within 30 days of BSI had infections with multi-drug resistant organisms. The study  
105 underscores the importance of stringent infection control measures to reduce BSI incidence, while the low  
106 CLABSI rate indicates effective infection control practices in the unit [11].

107 This study conducted at a tertiary care cancer center in North-East India aimed to analyze the microbial  
108 flora, susceptibility patterns, and clinical variables associated with bloodstream infections in pediatric  
109 patients with febrile neutropenia undergoing treatment for solid tumors and hematological malignancies.  
110 Over the study period from January 2020 to December 2021, 378 blood culture samples were collected,  
111 revealing febrile neutropenia in 252 patients (66.7%). Out of these, 45 blood cultures (17.8%) were positive,  
112 with gram-negative organisms constituting 62% and gram-positive organisms 38% of the infections.  
113 *Escherichia coli* was the most prevalent gram-negative isolate, followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*,  
114 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* (CoNS) were  
115 the most common gram-positive isolates. Notably, *Pseudomonas* isolates showed 60% sensitivity to beta-  
116 lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitors, and high colistin sensitivity was observed in *Klebsiella* and *E. coli* isolates.  
117 The study also reported a 50% incidence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). These  
118 findings underscore the necessity for tailored antimicrobial policies based on specific microbiological  
119 profiles and resistance patterns in pediatric cancer patients with febrile neutropenia [12].

120 A study conducted at the Uganda Cancer Institute explored the impact of bloodstream infections (BSI) on  
121 hematologic cancer patients experiencing febrile neutropenic episodes (FNE). The research highlighted the  
122 significant mortality associated with BSIs, particularly in cases involving multidrug-resistant (MDR)  
123 organisms and polymicrobial infections. Among 629 participants, 181 blood cultures were completed,  
124 revealing a 36% positivity rate for pathogenic organisms. Gram-negative bacteria were predominant, with  
125 *Escherichia coli* being the most frequently isolated pathogen. Polymicrobial bloodstream infections (PBSI)

126 accounted for 26% of the cases and were notably associated with higher mortality rates compared to  
127 monomicrobial infections (MBSI) and negative cultures. Specifically, patients with PBSI exhibited a 44%  
128 mortality rate at 7 days and 63% within 30 days of FNE onset, underscoring the critical need for effective  
129 antimicrobial strategies in managing these high-risk infections [13].

### 130 **3. Prevention & Management of Infections**

131 Infection management in patients with hematological malignancies involves a crucial balance between  
132 infection control measures and antimicrobial chemoprophylaxis. While infection control measures are  
133 generally safe, their effectiveness can be inconsistent. On the other hand, antimicrobial prophylaxis tends  
134 to be effective but carries the risks of increasing resistance rates, toxicity, and additional costs. Therefore,  
135 it is essential to carefully evaluate each patient's specific risk for infection, identify the predominant  
136 pathogens in the particular clinical setting, and determine the critical periods when patients are most at risk.  
137 This comprehensive approach ensures the most appropriate prophylactic strategy is employed. The  
138 chapter systematically reviews the key parameters for individual risk assessment and discusses the  
139 evidence and recommendations for infection control and antimicrobial prophylaxis targeting bacteria, fungi,  
140 viruses, and parasites, providing a robust framework for managing infections in this vulnerable patient  
141 population [14].

142 Cancer-related infections significantly contribute to increased mortality, antibiotic use, and extended  
143 hospital stays, with further adverse impacts such as treatment dose delays and reductions, ultimately  
144 leading to suboptimal treatment outcomes. To reduce these issues, it is crucial to implement effective risk  
145 assessment and evidence-based interventions. The Oncology Nursing Society (ONS) has developed and  
146 continually updated the Putting Evidence into Practice (PEP) resource to provide the best available  
147 scientific evidence for infection prevention and treatment in cancer patients receiving immunosuppressive  
148 therapy. The PEP resource evaluates both pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions,  
149 recommending practices such as catheter care bundles, antimicrobial prophylaxis, specific population  
150 vaccinations, and contact precautions for resistant organisms. These measures are essential for improving  
151 patient care and reducing the costs associated with cancer-related infections [15].

152 Children are the most prevalent age group affecting blood cancers. While empiric therapy for suspected  
153 infections and the treatment of confirmed infections are well-established practices, the routine use of  
154 prophylactic strategies in pediatric oncology is less common [16]. Both antimicrobial prophylaxis and non-  
155 pharmacological methods for infection prevention. Antimicrobial prophylaxis, although beneficial, carries  
156 risks such as increased resistance, toxicity, and cost. Non-pharmacological strategies, including stringent  
157 infection control measures, are essential but may not always be effective. Further research is needed to  
158 optimize these preventive approaches and to develop tailored strategies that balance efficacy and safety  
159 in managing infections among pediatric cancer patients [17].

160 Bloodstream infections (BSIs) are a significant complication in neutropenic cancer patients, particularly  
161 those caused by Gram-negative rods, which are linked to high mortality rates. Prompt empirical antibiotic  
162 therapy is crucial in these cases to cover the most common Gram-negative pathogens [18]. However, the  
163 rise of multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains over the past decade has rendered traditional antibiotics, such as  
164 ceftazidime, cefepime, piperacillin-tazobactam, and even carbapenems, increasingly ineffective. In  
165 response, a novel de-escalation approach has been suggested, where broad-spectrum antibiotics are  
166 initially used and then narrowed down after 72 hours if no MDR pathogen is identified. The efficacy of  
167 fluoroquinolone prophylaxis during prolonged neutropenia is also under scrutiny due to rising resistance.  
168 To combat these challenges, robust antibiotic stewardship and infection control programs are essential in  
169 cancer centers, ensuring appropriate antibiotic use and reducing the spread of resistant bacteria [19].

### 170 **4. CONCLUSION**

171 The management of infections in patients with hematological malignancies remains a critical challenge due  
172 to the high mortality associated with Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) and the increasing prevalence of

173 multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains. The need for prompt empirical antibiotic therapy is urgently required, yet  
174 the efficacy of traditional antibiotics is diminishing due to rising resistance. Comprehensive infection control  
175 measures and judicious use of antimicrobial prophylaxis are essential strategies for reducing infection rates  
176 and improving clinical outcomes.

177 The reviewed studies underscore the importance of routine blood culture monitoring, personalized risk  
178 assessment, and tailored antimicrobial policies based on local microbial flora and resistance patterns. Non-  
179 pharmacological strategies, such as stringent infection control measures, also play a vital role in preventing  
180 infections in immunocompromised patients. The integration of antibiotic stewardship programs within  
181 cancer centers is crucial to mitigate the spread of MDR organisms and ensure the effective use of  
182 antibiotics.

## 183 **5. Future Aspects**

### 184 **5.1. Enhanced Surveillance and Monitoring:**

185 Future research should focus on developing more surveillance systems to track infection trends and  
186 resistance patterns in real time. This will enable healthcare providers to promptly adjust treatment protocols  
187 and prophylactic strategies.

### 188 **5.2. Innovative Therapeutic Approaches:**

189 The development and clinical evaluation of new antibiotics and alternative therapies, such as bacteriophage  
190 therapy or immunotherapies, are critical to addressing MDR infections [20].

### 191 **5.3. Personalized Medicine:**

192 Advances in genomics and personalized medicine should be leveraged to tailor antimicrobial therapies  
193 based on individual patient profiles and specific pathogen characteristics.

### 194 **5.4. Strengthening Infection Control:**

195 Ongoing education and training programs for healthcare workers on infection control practices, coupled  
196 with robust infection prevention infrastructure, are necessary to reduce the incidence of hospital-acquired  
197 infections.

### 198 **5.5. Optimizing Antimicrobial Prophylaxis:**

199 Further research is needed to determine the optimal use of prophylactic antibiotics, balancing efficacy with  
200 the risk of resistance, toxicity, and cost. This includes evaluating the role of fluoroquinolone prophylaxis in  
201 light of increasing resistance.

### 202 **5.6. Research on Antibiotic-Resistant Strains and Gene Identification:**

203 Apply functional genomics approaches to understand the role of specific genes in conferring antibiotic  
204 resistance, elucidating mechanisms of resistance development and potential targets for intervention.

205 By addressing these future aspects, the medical community can better manage and prevent BSIs in patients  
206 with hematological malignancies, ultimately improving patient outcomes and reducing healthcare costs [21].

207 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

208  
209 Justy Babu designed the study and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Dr. S. Sivamalar and Justy Babu  
210 managed the analyses of the study and managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved  
211 the final manuscript.”

212  
213 Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

214 Option 1:

215 Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT,  
216 COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

217  
218 Option 2:

219 Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been  
220 used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include list the name, version, model,  
221 and source of the generative AI technology and as well as the all input prompts provided to a generative AI  
222 technology

223  
224 Details of the AI usage are given below:

225 1.

226 2.

227 3.

228

229

230

231 **REFERENCE**

232

233 1. Chumbita, M., Puerta-Alcalde, P., Yáñez, L., Angeles Cuesta, M., China, A., Español-  
234 Morales, I., ... & Garcia-Vidal, C. (2023). High rate of inappropriate antibiotics in patients with  
235 hematologic malignancies and Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteremia following international  
236 guideline recommendations. *Microbiology Spectrum*, 11(4), e00674-23.  
237 <https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.00674-23>

238

239 2. Trecarichi, E. M., Giuliano, G., Cattaneo, C., Ballanti, S., Criscuolo, M., Candoni, A., Marchesi,  
240 F., Laurino, M., Dargenio, M., Fanci, R., Cefalo, M., Delia, M., Spolzino, A., Maracci, L.,  
241 Bonuomo, V., Busca, A., Principe, M. I. D., Daffini, R., Simonetti, E., Dragonetti, G., ...  
242 Hematologic Malignancies Associated Bloodstream Infections Surveillance (HEMABIS)  
243 registry – Sorveglianza Epidemiologica Infezioni Fungine in Emopatie Maligne (SEIFEM)  
244 group, Italy (2023). Bloodstream infections due to Gram-negative bacteria in patients with  
245 hematologic malignancies: updated epidemiology and risk factors for multidrug-resistant  
246 strains in an Italian perspective survey. *International journal of antimicrobial agents*, 61(6),  
247 106806. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2023.106806>

248

249 3. Baker, T. M., & Satlin, M. J. (2016). The growing threat of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative  
250 infections in patients with hematologic malignancies. *Leukemia & lymphoma*, 57(10), 2245–  
251 2258. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10428194.2016.1193859>

252

253 4. Secreto, C., Busca, A., Lupia, T., Corcione, S., & De Rosa, F. G. (2020). The management of  
254 hematologic patients with bloodstream infections due to multi-drug resistant bacteria: Where  
255 do we stand? From antibacterial prophylaxis to the treatment of septic shock. *Hemato*, 1(2),  
256 60-76. <https://doi.org/10.3390/hemato1020010>

257

- 258  
259  
260  
261  
262
5. Dhanaraj, N., & Foppiano Palacios, C. (2023). The role of repeated blood cultures in hematologic malignancy patients with neutropenic fever due to gram-negative bacterial bloodstream infections. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases*, 10(Supplement\_2), ofad500.259. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofad500.259>
- 263  
264  
265  
266
6. Al-Otaibi, F. E., Bukhari, E. E., Badr, M., & Alrabiaa, A. A. (2016). Prevalence and risk factors of Gram-negative bacilli causing blood stream infection in patients with malignancy. *Saudi medical journal*, 37(9), 979–984. <https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2016.9.14211>
- 267  
268  
269  
270
7. Rajeswari, B., Nair, R. K. S., Guruprasad, C. S., Nair, M., Thankamony, P., & Parukutty, K. (2018). Infections during Induction Chemotherapy in Children with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia – Profile and Outcomes: Experience from a Cancer Center in South India. *Indian Journal of Medical and Paediatric Oncology*, 39(2), 188-192. DOI: 10.4103/ijmpo.ijmpo\_95\_17.
- 271
- 272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277
8. Batra, U., Goyal, P., Jain, P., Upadhyay, A., Sachdeva, N., Agarwal, M., Bhurani, D., Talwar, V., Gupta, S. K., & Doval, D. C. (2016). Epidemiology and resistance pattern of bacterial isolates among cancer patients in a Tertiary Care Oncology Centre in North India. *Indian journal of cancer*, 53(3), 448–451. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-509X.200647>
- 278  
279  
280  
281
9. Radhakrishnan, V., Vijaykumar, V., Ganesan, P., Rajendranath, R., Trivadi, G., & Tenali, S. (2014). Bloodstream infections in pediatric patients at Cancer Institute, Chennai. *Indian journal of cancer*, 51(4), 418–419. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-509X.175360>
- 282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287
10. Tohamy, S. T., Aboshanab, K. M., El-Mahallawy, H. A., El-Ansary, M. R., & Afifi, S. S. (2018). Prevalence of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens isolated from febrile neutropenic cancer patients with bloodstream infections in Egypt and new synergistic antibiotic combinations. *Infection and drug resistance*, 11, 791–803. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S163293>
- 288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293
11. Mukherjee, S., De, M. S., Goel, G., Bhattacharyya, A., Mallick, I., Dabkara, D., Bhaumik, J., Roy, M. K., Majumdar, P. B., Chatterji, S., Mukherjee, S., Bhattacharya, S., & Chandy, M. (2023). Multi-drug resistant (MDR) and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) bacteraemia rates among cancer patients in an oncology hospital in eastern India: An 11-year retrospective observational study. *Infection Prevention in Practice*, 5(2), 100275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infpip.2023.100275>
- 294
- 295  
296  
297  
298  
299
12. Talukdar, A., Barman, R., Hazarika, M., & Das, G. (2023). Bloodstream infections in paediatric cancer patients with febrile neutropenia in a tertiary cancer centre in North-East India. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Care*, 8(4), 691-695. <https://doi.org/10.31557/APJCC.2023.8.4.691-695>
- 300  
301  
302  
303  
304
13. Lubwama, M., Bwanga, F., Kateete, D., Adams, S., Namubiru, B., Nabiryo, B., Orem, J., & Phipps, W. (2021). Multidrug resistant polymicrobial Gram-negative bacteremia in hematologic cancer patients with febrile neutropenia at the Uganda Cancer Institute. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases*, 8(Supplement\_1), S220–S221. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofab466.428>
- 305  
306
14. Nucci, M., & Anaissie, E. J. (2017). Prevention of Infections in Patients with Hematological Malignancies. *Neoplastic Diseases of the Blood*, 1047–1062. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3->

307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346

[319-64263-5\\_49](#)

15. Wilson, B. J. (2018). Prevention of infection: A systematic review of evidence-based practice interventions for management in patients with cancer. *Number 2/April 2018, 22(2)*, 157-168. DOI: 10.1188/18.CJON.157-168
16. Mattei, D., Baretta, V., Mazzariol, A., Maccacaro, L., Balter, R., Zaccaron, A., ... & Cesaro, S. (2022). Characteristics and outcomes of Bloodstream Infections in a Tertiary-Care Pediatric hematology–oncology unit: a 10-Year study. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 11(3), 880.
17. Alexander, S., Nieder, M., Zerr, D. M., Fisher, B. T., Dvorak, C. C., & Sung, L. (2012). Prevention of bacterial infection in pediatric oncology: what do we know, what can we learn?. *Pediatric blood & cancer*, 59(1), 16-20 <https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.23416>.
18. Chen, S., Lin, K., Li, Q., Luo, X., Xiao, M., Chen, M., ... & Yang, T. (2021). A practical update on the epidemiology and risk factors for the emergence and mortality of bloodstream infections from real-world data of 3014 hematological malignancy patients receiving chemotherapy. *Journal of Cancer*, 12(18), 5494.
19. Gustinetti, G., & Mikulska, M. (2016). Bloodstream infections in neutropenic cancer patients: a practical update. *Virulence*, 7(3), 280-297. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2016.1156821>
20. Ali, Y., Inusa, I., Sanghvi, G., Mandaliya, V. B., & Bishoyi, A. K. (2023). The current status of phage therapy and its advancement towards establishing standard antimicrobials for combating multi drug-resistant bacterial pathogens. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 181, 106199.
21. Emran, T. B., Shahriar, A., Mahmud, A. R., Rahman, T., Abir, M. H., Siddiquee, M. F. R., ... & Hassan, M. M. (2022). Multidrug resistance in cancer: understanding molecular mechanisms, immunoprevention and therapeutic approaches. *Frontiers in Oncology*, 12, 891652.
22. Fritsche G. Clinically relevant infections in hematology and oncology: bacterial infections and the role of novel antibiotics in times of multidrug resistance. *memo-Magazine of European Medical Oncology*. 2021 Sep;14(3):292-7.
23. Baker TM, Satlin MJ. The growing threat of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative infections in patients with hematologic malignancies. *Leukemia & lymphoma*. 2016 Oct 2;57(10):2245-58.