

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Research Journal of Oncology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IRJO_119067</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Rising Challenge of Multidrug-Resistant Gram-Negative Infections in Hematologic Oncology: A Brief Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes.</b> This manuscript addresses a critical issue in the field of hematologic oncology by highlighting the rising challenge of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative infections. The prevalence of such infections, particularly in immunocompromised patients with hematological malignancies, poses a significant threat to patient outcomes. By reviewing epidemiological data, treatment strategies, and prevention measures, this manuscript provides valuable insights for clinicians and researchers.</p> <p><b>Yes.</b> The title, "The challenge of Multidrug-resistant Gram-negative Infections in Hematologic Malignancies," is suitable as it clearly conveys the primary focus of the manuscript.</p> <p><b>Yes,</b> the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p><b>Yes,</b> the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate. The manuscript is organized logically, with clear subsections that guide the reader through the introduction, methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion. This structure helps in understanding the flow of the research and the development of the authors' arguments. Each section appears to build upon the previous one, providing a coherent and comprehensive narrative of the study on multidrug-resistant Gram-negative infections in patients with hematologic malignancies.</p> <p><b>Yes,</b> the references can be considered sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Clarity and Precision:</b> The language is clear and precise. It is free from ambiguity and convoluted sentences.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Grammar and Syntax:</b> Proper grammar, punctuation, and sentence structure were used throughout.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Scholarly Tone:</b> The article maintained a formal and scholarly tone appropriate for academic communication.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Technical Terminology:</b> Proper use of technical and field-specific terminology.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> <b>Flow and Coherence:</b> Ideas and arguments are presented logically, with smooth transitions between sections.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jane Acquaye</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University for Development Studies, Ghana</b>