

VARIETAL EVALUATION OF GLADIOLUS (*GLADIOLUS*
GRANDIFLORUS L.) CULTIVARS UNDER AGRO- CLIMATIC
CONDITIONS OF PRAYAGRAJ

Commented [u1]: This is not supposed to be in italics

ABSTRACT

Aim: An experiment to “Study on Genetic Variability and correlation coefficient of Gladiolus Cultivars Under Agro-Climatic Conditions of Prayagraj”

Place and Study of Duration: It was carried out at Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agriculture Institute during the Rabi season of 2023-24 with 22 Cultivars.

Study Design: Randomized Block Design with three replications.

Methodology: The analysis of variance for different quantitative characters revealed significant differences among the genotypes for parameters like growth, flowering and corm yield per plant of gladiolus. The highest corms yield/plant (g/plant) of genotype was observed in White prosperity (111.37). While lowest corms yield/plant (g/plant) was observed for Tambri (55.47). High magnitude of GCV and PCV were recorded for weight of daughter corm (20.396 and 21.71). In the present investigation, the genetic advance estimates were found to be high for no. of days taken for first floret open (24.58), corm weight / plot (g) (126.02), weight of mother corm / plot (g) (166.38), weight of daughter corm (70.43), no. of corm per hectare (42515.19) and no. of cormels per hectare (1349073.89). In the present investigation, the genetic advance as mean percentage estimates were found to be high for number of leaves per plant at 30 das (20.27), days taken for corm sprouting (31.48), no. of days taken for first floret open (31.38), weight of mother corm / plot (g) (27.03), weight of daughter corm (39.46) and no. of cormels per hectare (21.70). Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient analysis revealed that Corms weight/plant (g) showed positive significant association with plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, number of shoot per plant, rachis length (cm), no. of floret per spike, no. of spike per plant, floret diameter (cm), spike length (cm), vase life (days), corm weight / plot (g), weight of mother corm / plot (g), weight of daughter corm, corm diameter (cm), no. of corm per hectare and no. of cormels per hectare at both levels genotypic and phenotypic.

Commented [u2]: Remove from here.

Commented [u3]: This word is wrongly used here.

Key word: -*Gladiolus grandiflorus*, *Gladiolus*, GCV, PCV, Heritability.

Commented [u4]: This is not supposed to be in capital letter.

INTRODUCTION

Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.), the queen of the bulbous ornamentals, is the leading geophytes grown worldwide for cut flower trade and garden displays. It occupies 5th place in international cut flower trade among the geophytes in international florist trade and first in domestic bulbous flower trade (Butt *et al.*, 2015, Wani *et al.* 2018). India has suitable agro-climatic conditions for gladiolus cultivation, it is being grown over an area of 11660 ha with a production of 106 Crore spikes (agricoop.nic.in).

There are about 260 species of the gladiolus, out of these 250 species are native to sub-Saharan Africa, mostly South Africa and about 10 species are native to Eurasia (Goldblatt *et al.*, 2001). The modern hybrids have been derived from at least 12 species which are now called as *Gladiolus grandiflorus*.

Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandifloras* L.) also referred to as the "Queen of bulbous flowers," is a plant native to South Africa and a member of the Iridaceae family with the somatic chromosome number $2n = 2x = 30$. The gladiolus is a beautiful cut flower with fascinating spikes and florets of bright colors, attractive shapes and a range of sizes that open up gradually from the bottom to the top. Since the beginning of civilization gladiolus flowers have been connected to people.

They stand for beauty, peace and love. It is often referred to as a "Sword lily" because of the foliage's sword-like form. Gladiolus leaves are narrowly linear, flattened at the sides and sheathed at the base. The stem is herbaceous. The flowers are actinomorphic, bisexual, with three stamens on the petaloid perianth and have a fully developed ovary. A food-storing underground stem known as a "corm" serves as the gladiolus's means of reproduction. Small new corms and cormels or cormlets are formed from the base as the new offspring corm develops on top of the old one. The main method of gladiolus reproduction is by the use of corms and cormels Chandra *et al.*, (2023).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location and source of experiment

The present investigation was carried out during Rabi season 2023 at Farm of Department of Horticulture at Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences Naini, Prayagraj Uttar Pradesh. The genetic materials were consisted of 22 varieties (Table-1).

Table-1 The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block design(RBD) with three replications

| S. No. | Notation | Genotypes |
|--------|----------|-----------------|
| 1 | V1 | Pusa Sindhuri |
| 2 | V2 | Pusa Suhagin |
| 3 | V3 | Red Ginger |
| 4 | V4 | Red Majesty |
| 5 | V5 | Sancerre |
| 6 | V6 | Shahanoda |
| 7 | V7 | Shobha |
| 8 | V8 | Smoky Lady |
| 9 | V9 | Snow Princess |
| 10 | V10 | Solan Shrinagar |
| 11 | V11 | Souvik Biscuits |
| 12 | V12 | Surya kiran |

Commented [u5]: Put in italics

Commented [u6]: Spelling error

Commented [u7]: cormlets are

Commented [u8]: bracket open

Commented [u9]: remove

| | | |
|----|-----|------------------|
| 13 | V13 | Swarnima |
| 14 | V14 | Sweta |
| 15 | V15 | Tambri |
| 16 | V16 | Urmi |
| 17 | V17 | Vicky Lin |
| 18 | V18 | Wedding Creamby |
| 19 | V19 | White Prosperity |
| 20 | V20 | Yellow Stone |
| 21 | V21 | Suchitra |
| 22 | V22 | Summer Sunshine |

Results and Discussion

Analysis of variance showed significant differences among the genotypes for the 22 characters studied analysis of variance showed significant difference among the genotypes for the different characters at 1% significance. The mean sum of squares due to genotypes showed significant differences for all characters under study for except days taken for emergence of flower spike and days taken to show color of basal floret. In other words, the performance of the genotypes with respect to these characters was statistically different, suggesting scope for growth, flowering and corm yield characters improvement in Gladiolus. The present investigation confirms the earlier finding by **Bhujbal et al., (2013), Ahmad et al., (2012) and Ramzan et al., (2016).**

Commented [u10]: remove

Table 2 Analysis of variance for 25 different growth, flowering and corm yield of Gladiolus

| ANOVA Summary | | | | |
|---------------|---|---------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Sl.No. | Source | Mean Sum of Squares (MSS) | | |
| | | Replication | Treatment | Error |
| | Degrees of freedom | 2 | 21 | 42 |
| 1 | Plant height (30 das) | 11.110 | 35.923** | 11.37 |
| 2 | Plant height (60 das) | 31.9460 | 44.4* | 20.524 |
| 3 | Plant height (90 das) | 82.640 | 110.569** | 41.414 |
| 4 | Number of leaves per plant at 30 DAS | 0.1190 | 0.917** | 0.13 |
| 5 | Number of leaves per plant at 60 DAS | 0.0740 | 1.237** | 0.199 |
| 6 | Number of leaves per plant at 90 DAS | 0.2220 | 1.503** | 0.633 |
| 7 | no. of shoot per plant | 0.0090 | 0.037** | 0.008 |
| 8 | Days taken for corm sprouting | 0.0150 | 2.237** | 0.142 |
| 9 | Rachis length (cm) | 1.4280 | 48.113** | 12.889 |
| 10 | Days for spike emergence | 52.4090 | 91.307** | 18.504 |
| 11 | Days for colour break stage | 2.2880 | 112.214** | 38.129 |
| 12 | No. of Floret Per Spike | 0.6260 | 1.153** | 0.438 |
| 13 | No. of spike per plant | 0.0020 | 0.02** | 0.005 |
| 14 | No. of Days taken for first floret open | 34.5760 | 584.914** | 46.721 |
| 15 | No. of days taken for last floret open | 0.7220 | 0.846** | 0.363 |
| 16 | Floret diameter (cm) | 0.5690 | 2.371** | 0.794 |
| 17 | Spike length (cm) | 170.024* | 142.352** | 47.449 |
| 18 | Vase life (days) | 0.7670 | 0.823** | 0.25 |
| 19 | Corm weight / plot (g) | 396.7520 | 19938.246** | 2923.948 |
| 20 | Weight of mother corm / plot (g) | 3302.3440 | 28235.022** | 2631.033 |
| 21 | Weight of daughter corm | 219.4040 | 4150.58** | 176.55 |
| 22 | Corm diameter (cm) | 0.1590 | 0.337** | 0.127 |
| 23 | No. of corm per hectare | 610407435.5910 | 2698662740.664** | 515543684 |

| Sl. No. | Genotypes | Days taken for corm sprouting | Rachis length (cm) | Days for spike emergence | Days for colour break stage | No. of Floret Per Spike | No. of spike per plant | No. of Days taken for first floret open | No. of days taken for last floret open |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | PusaSindhuri | 5.00 | 56.86 | 79.00 | 85.67 | 10.57 | 1.24 | 68.17 | 11.38 |
| 2 | PusaSuhagin | 5.33 | 55.54 | 74.33 | 81.00 | 11.10 | 1.26 | 69.31 | 11.13 |
| 3 | Red Ginger | 5.00 | 56.41 | 76.00 | 83.33 | 10.81 | 1.27 | 77.30 | 11.24 |
| 4 | Red Majesty | 5.00 | 53.68 | 72.67 | 80.00 | 10.82 | 1.26 | 79.83 | 11.97 |
| 5 | Sancerre | 5.00 | 54.65 | 76.00 | 82.33 | 10.62 | 1.28 | 88.96 | 11.64 |
| 6 | Shahanoda | 6.00 | 50.98 | 74.33 | 80.67 | 10.66 | 1.24 | 89.49 | 11.49 |
| 7 | Shobha | 5.00 | 51.33 | 76.33 | 84.67 | 11.50 | 1.19 | 81.58 | 11.73 |
| 8 | Smoky Lady | 3.67 | 59.80 | 68.00 | 74.33 | 11.97 | 1.31 | 51.20 | 10.72 |
| 9 | Snow Princess | 5.00 | 52.51 | 77.33 | 85.00 | 10.58 | 1.13 | 91.56 | 11.57 |
| 10 | SolanShrinagar | 5.33 | 54.38 | 80.00 | 88.67 | 11.16 | 1.15 | 69.27 | 11.46 |
| 11 | Souvik Biscuits | 3.00 | 60.77 | 66.67 | 72.67 | 12.18 | 1.35 | 50.19 | 10.57 |
| 12 | Suryakiran | 5.00 | 52.95 | 77.67 | 84.33 | 10.99 | 1.19 | 85.72 | 11.07 |
| 13 | Swarnima | 5.00 | 50.80 | 75.33 | 82.00 | 11.44 | 1.09 | 87.00 | 11.25 |
| 14 | Sweta | 5.33 | 51.67 | 77.67 | 85.00 | 11.83 | 1.20 | 84.16 | 11.32 |
| 15 | Tambri | 7.00 | 42.74 | 92.00 | 100.33 | 9.69 | 1.05 | 97.75 | 13.11 |
| 16 | Urmi | 5.33 | 52.76 | 75.67 | 82.33 | 10.88 | 1.16 | 88.37 | 11.00 |
| 17 | Vicky Lin | 5.00 | 52.96 | 80.00 | 87.67 | 10.86 | 1.15 | 78.39 | 11.46 |
| 18 | Wedding Creamby | 4.67 | 53.57 | 80.33 | 88.00 | 10.64 | 1.15 | 81.55 | 11.27 |
| 19 | White Prosperity | 3.00 | 62.12 | 64.00 | 69.67 | 12.30 | 1.40 | 48.35 | 10.51 |
| 20 | Yellow Stone | 5.33 | 51.35 | 77.67 | 85.33 | 10.49 | 1.23 | 92.90 | 11.62 |
| 21 | Suchitra | 5.33 | 52.23 | 76.00 | 82.33 | 10.84 | 1.20 | 87.86 | 11.19 |
| 22 | Summer Sunshine | 5.33 | 54.17 | 77.00 | 84.00 | 10.82 | 1.23 | 73.93 | 11.59 |
| Mean | | 4.98 | 53.83 | 76.09 | 83.15 | 11.03 | 1.22 | 78.31 | 11.38 |
| CV | | 7.56 | 6.67 | 5.65 | 7.43 | 6.00 | 5.64 | 8.73 | 5.29 |
| SEm | | 0.22 | 2.07 | 2.48 | 3.57 | 0.38 | 0.04 | 3.95 | 0.35 |
| CD at 5% | | 0.62 | 5.92 | 7.09 | 10.17 | 1.09 | 0.11 | 11.26 | 0.99 |
| Minimum | | 3.00 | 42.74 | 64.00 | 69.67 | 9.69 | 1.05 | 48.35 | 10.51 |
| Maximum | | 7.00 | 62.12 | 92.00 | 100.33 | 12.30 | 1.40 | 97.75 | 13.11 |
| Treatment | | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |

Table 2 b : 25 different growth, flowering and corm yield of Gladiolus

Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (GCV)

Wide range of genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) was observed for the characters ranging Weight of daughter corm (20.39) to No. of days taken for last floret open (3.53). High magnitude of GCV were recorded for weight of daughter corm (20.396). While as moderate estimates were observed for no. of cormels per hectare (13.03), corm yield/plant (g) (10.56), no. of corm per hectare (10.93), weight of mother corm / plot (g) (15.01), no. of days taken for first floret open (17.10), days taken for corm sprouting (16.77) and number of leaves per plant at 30 das (12.02). Similar findings were also reported by **Bhujbal *et al.*, (2013), Blamuurugan *et al.*, (2002), Kumar *et al.*, (2011), Naresh *et al.*, (2015), Panwar *et al.*, (2013), Mishra (2008) and Pattanaik *et al.*, (2013).**

Commented [u11]: italicize

Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV)

Wide range of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was observed for the characters ranging from Weight of daughter corm (21.71) to No. of days taken for last floret open (6.36). High magnitude of PCV were recorded for weight of daughter corm (21.71). While as moderate estimates were observed for Weight of mother corm / plot (g) (17.17), Corm diameter (cm) (10.08), No. of corm per hectare (14.29), No. of cormels per hectare (16.13), Corm Yield/Plant (g) (14.77), Plant height (30 das) (11.43), Number of leaves per plant at 30 DAS (14.69), Days taken for corm sprouting (18.39), No. of Days taken for first floret open (19.20), Corm weight / plot (g) (12.30). Similar findings were also reported by **Bhujbal *et al.*, (2013), Blamuurugan *et al.*, (2002), Kumar *et al.*, (2011), Naresh *et al.*, (2015), Panwar *et al.*, (2013), Mishra (2008) and Pattanaik *et al.*, (2013).**

Heritability

The heritability estimates were found to be high (more than 75%). The high heritability in broad sense was observed for the characters viz. weight of daughter corm (88.24), weight of mother corm / plot (g) (76.436), no. of days taken for first floret open (79.33) and days taken for corm sprouting (83.09). While as moderate estimates were observed for Number of leaves per plant at 30 DAS (66.959), Number of leaves per plant at 60 DAS (63.428), Corm weight / plot (g) (65.982) and No. of cormels per hectare (65.298). The present findings are in accordance with the findings of **Anuradha and Gowda (1990), Sorianathasundaram and Nambisan (1991), Mahanta and Paswan (1995), Sheikh *et al.*, (1995), Balaram *et al.*, (2000), Deepti (2000), Balamurugan *et al.*, (2002), Bichoo *et al.*, (2002), and Pratap and Rao (2006), Balaram and Janakiram (2009), Bhujbal *et al.*, (2013), Archana *et al.*, (2008), Choudhary *et al.*, (2012), Naresh *et al.*, (2015), Singh *et al.*, (2017) and Vanlalruati *et al.*, (2013).**

Commented [u12]: italicize

Commented [u13]: italicize

Genetic Advance

In the present investigation, the genetic advance estimates were found to be high for no. of days taken for first floret open (24.58), corm weight / plot (g) (126.02), weight of mother corm / plot (g) (166.38), weight of daughter corm (70.43), no. of corm per hectare (42515.19) and no. of cormels per hectare (1349073.89). The results was also in accordance with the findings of **Pragnyashreet *et al.*, (2014), Ganesh *et al.*, (2014), Vanlalruatiet *et al.*, (2013), Sing *et al.*, (2017), Naresh *et al.*, (2015), Bhujbal *et al.*, (2013) and Kumar *et al.*, (2011).**

Table 3: Estimation of component of variance and genetic parameters for 25 character growth, flowering and corm yield of 22 genotypes in Gladiolus.

| Sl.No. | Genetic Parameters | GCV | PCV | h ² (Broad Sense) | GA | GAM |
|--------|---|-------|-------|------------------------------|------------|-------|
| 1 | Plant height (30 das) | 7.40 | 11.43 | 41.853 | 3.81 | 9.86 |
| 2 | Plant height (60 das) | 4.36 | 8.25 | 27.942 | 3.07 | 4.75 |
| 3 | Plant height (90 das) | 4.28 | 7.16 | 35.759 | 5.91 | 5.27 |
| 4 | Number of leaves per plant at 30 DAS | 12.02 | 14.69 | 66.959 | 0.86 | 20.27 |
| 5 | Number of leaves per plant at 60 DAS | 7.75 | 9.72 | 63.428 | 0.97 | 12.71 |
| 6 | Number of leaves per plant at 90 DAS | 5.43 | 9.69 | 31.421 | 0.62 | 6.27 |
| 7 | no. of shoot per plant | 7.14 | 9.48 | 56.704 | 0.15 | 11.08 |
| 8 | Days taken for corm sprouting | 16.77 | 18.39 | 83.09 | 1.57 | 31.48 |
| 9 | Rachis length (cm) | 6.37 | 9.22 | 47.671 | 4.87 | 9.05 |
| 10 | Days for spike emergence | 6.47 | 8.60 | 56.737 | 7.64 | 10.05 |
| 11 | Days for colour break stage | 5.98 | 9.53 | 39.308 | 6.42 | 7.72 |
| 12 | No. of Floret Per Spike | 4.43 | 7.45 | 35.28 | 0.60 | 5.42 |
| 13 | No. of spike per plant | 5.82 | 8.11 | 51.565 | 0.11 | 8.61 |
| 14 | No. of Days taken for first floret open | 17.10 | 19.20 | 79.338 | 24.58 | 31.38 |
| 15 | No. of days taken for last floret open | 3.53 | 6.36 | 30.742 | 0.46 | 4.03 |
| 16 | Floret diameter (cm) | 5.51 | 8.74 | 39.823 | 0.94 | 7.17 |
| 17 | Spike length (cm) | 5.15 | 8.13 | 40.001 | 7.33 | 6.70 |
| 18 | Vase life (days) | 4.75 | 7.22 | 43.263 | 0.59 | 6.44 |
| 19 | Corm weight / plot (g) | 9.99 | 12.30 | 65.982 | 126.02 | 16.71 |
| 20 | Weight of mother corm / plot (g) | 15.01 | 17.17 | 76.436 | 166.38 | 27.03 |
| 21 | Weight of daughter corm | 20.39 | 21.71 | 88.24 | 70.43 | 39.46 |
| 22 | Corm diameter (cm) | 6.01 | 10.08 | 35.492 | 0.32 | 7.37 |
| 23 | No. of corm per hectare | 10.93 | 14.29 | 58.533 | 42515.19 | 17.23 |
| 24 | No. of cormels per hectare | 13.03 | 16.13 | 65.298 | 1349073.89 | 21.70 |
| 25 | Corm Yield/Plant (g) | 10.56 | 14.77 | 51.116 | 14.67 | 15.55 |

CONCLUSION

Based on the present investigation it was concluded that the high magnitude of heritability (in broad sense) coupled with high genetic gain was observed for most of traits exhibiting additive genetic effect. The analysis of variance for different quantitative characters revealed significant differences among the genotypes for parameters like growth, flowering and corm yield per plant of gladiolus. In the present study the heritability estimates in broad sense were classified into 3 groups such as high (>75%), moderate (60% - 75%), low (<60%). In the present investigation, the genetic advance estimates were found to be high for no. of days taken for first floret open (24.58), no. of corm per hectare (42515.19) In the present investigation, the genetic advance as mean percentage estimates were found to be high for number of leaves per plant at 30 das (20.27), daystaken for corm sprouting (31.48), no. of days taken for first floret open (31.38). Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient analysis revealed that Corm weight/plot (g) showed positive significant association with plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, number of shoot per plant, rachis length (cm), no. of floret per spike, no. of spike per plant, floret diameter (cm), spike length (cm),

Commented [u14]: revealed

Commented [u15]: Corm's

Commented [u16]: shoots

vase life (days), no. of corm per hectare at both levels genotypic and phenotypic.

References

- Archana, B. and Patil, V. S. (2013).** Studies on ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS) induced mutations for enhancing variability of gladiolus varieties (*Gladiolus hybridus* Hort.) in M1V2 generation. *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, **26** (3): 403-407.
- Archana, B. and Patil, V. S. (2016).** Genetic variability and heritability studies in gamma ray in irradiated mutant populations of gladiolus (*Gladiolus hybridus* Hort.) varieties in M1V2 generation. *Green Farming*, **7** (2): 388-391.
- Archana, B., Patil, A. and Patil, V. S. (2008).** Studies on Genetic variability analysis in gladiolus hybrids. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, **11**(2): 121-126.
- Balamurugan, Rengasamy, P. and Arumugam, T. (2002).** Variability studies in gladiolus. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, **5**(1): 5 38-39.
- Balaram, M. V. and Janakiram, T. (2004).** Genetic variability studies in gladiolus genotypes. *Karnataka Journal of Horticulture*, **1**(1): 96-99.
- Balaram, M. V. and Janakiram, T. (2009).** Correlation and path coefficient analysis in gladiolus. *Journal of ornamental horticulture*, **12**(1): 22-29.
- Balaram, M., Janakiram, T., Vasantha, E., Choudhary, M. L. and Ganeshan, S. (2000).** Genetic variability among Gladiolus genotypes. *National Conference on Gladiolus*, **2**: 24-25.
- Bharathi T. Usha, Jawaharlal M., Kannan M., Manivannan N., and Raveendran M. (2014).** Correlation and path analysis in african marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). **9**(4): 1673-1676.
- Choudhary, M., Moond, S. K., Kumari, A. and Beniwal, B. S. (2012).** Genetic variability in quantitative characters of Gladiolus. *International journal of agricultural science*, **8**(1): 138-141.
- Deepti (2000).** Co-efficient of variation, heritability and expected genetic advance in gladiolus. *Proceedings of the National Conferences on Gladiolus*, 70-71.
- Hamilton, A., (1976).** A history of the garden Gladiolus. *Garden*, 101: 424-428.
- Ishwarraddy, K. Kandpal, A. Hugar, G. Ramesh and Amaregouda, A. 2018.** Genetic Variability Studies in Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflora* L.). *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* **7**(11): 2566- 2573.
- John, A. Q., Bichoo, G.A. and Wani, S.A. (2002).** Correlation studies in gladiolus. *J. Ornamental Hort. New Series* **5**(1): 25-29.
- Kadam, G. B., Kumar, G., Saha T. N., Tiwari A. K. and Kumar, R. (2014).** Varietal evaluation and genetic variability studies on Gladiolus. *Indian Journal*

Commented [u17]: African

Commented [u18]: Italicize the botanical names

Commented [u19]: If you can write it in full please do, then put in italics.

Horticulture, **71**(3):379-384.

Kanawjia, A. and Saravanan, S. (2016). Studies on genetic variability and character association in *Gladiolus* sp. *New Agriculturist*, **27**(1):14-19.

Kumar, J., kumar, R. and Pal, K. (2011). Variability and character association in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.). *Agricultural science digest*, **31**: 280 - 284.

Commented [u20]: Kumar

Kumar, K. A., Singh, B., Dhaiya, R. and Goswami, A. (2018). Evaluation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advances in gladiolus (*Gladiolus hybridus* Hort.) genotypes. *Journal Annals of Horticulture year*, **11**(1):46-51.

Kumar, M., Kumar, S. and Chaudhary, P. (2015). Correlation and path analysis study in gladiolus (*Gladiolus hybridus* hort.). *International Journal Agriculture Statistics Sciences*, **11**(2): 527-532.

UNDER PEER REVIEW