

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_119578
Title of the Manuscript:	Variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and shoot fly resistance associated traits in Recombinant Inbred Lines (RILs) of Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench].
Type of the Article	Manuscript

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Yes, the manuscript is important to the scientific community because it examines various genetic indicators associated with resistance to 210 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) on Sorghum, and includes important recommendations.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title of the article is appropriate. There is no alternative address.</p> <p>3- Yes, the article abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4- Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and meet all conditions.</p> <p>5- Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- Yes, the references sufficient and recent. There is not provide additional suggestions or comments.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for academic communication and is written in an easy manner and with good phrases.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research is important and good, and is characterized by clarity and comprehensiveness of the summary, diversity of scientific references, accurate statistical treatment of the results, clarity of scientific discussion and interpretation, and important recommendations for researchers.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmad Elali Elkhalf
Department, University & Country	Syria