

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_118609
Title of the Manuscript:	On Unitary Quasi-Equivalence and w-Hyponormal Operators In Hilbert Spaces
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> In this manuscript, some properties of Hilbert spaces are studied. Scientifically, the article looks good. The title of the manuscript is ok. Abstract of the article is suitable for this study. Introduction needs to be revised carefully. Write the introduction with more explanation. Section 2 should be written more accurate. Explain each definition. Define the terms properly e.g., the reader can not understand the Definition 1. Explain relation of operators in section 2. Methodology is written very poor. Making section of three, four lines is not appreciable. You can merge it to section 4. Explain methodology in clear way. Results in section 4 are looking correct. However, section 4 can be written in improved way. Conclusion is also written poor. Rewrite it with more explanation. Manuscript is scientifically correct. Latest references can be added. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Language is poor. It can be improved. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amir Hussain
Department, University & Country	Riphah International University, Pakistan