

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119592
Title of the Manuscript:	Combining Ability Analysis for Forage Yield and Quality Traits in Forage Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor L. Moench]
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. Sorghum is an important crop worldwide and comprehensive studies of it are welcomed. The author has clearly made the case for extensive sorghum research.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>Yes. Clearly states the objective and effectively summarizes the results.</p> <p>No. The structure of the paper needs extensive work done to ensure flow and legibility. I would suggest the author consult a journal template in order to properly format a paper for review. This is a substantial obstacle to successful publication.</p> <p>There is a wide range of dates for the references. The most recent one comes from 2021. Perhaps a few more recent references would be beneficial.</p> <p>This paper needs a lot of work in formatting and structure. Sections and subsections need to be clearly defined. Structure of body paragraphs needs work as well.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	This paper has a wealth of beneficial information, but without proper formatting and structure, I don't know if it would be considered for publication.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>James Burke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Arkansas, United States of America</b>