

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_119947</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IMPACT OF CHANGING LAND USE LAND COVER ON ERODIBILITY OF THE OUTER HIMALAYAN SOILS</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1 It provides valuable insights into how land use changes affect soil erodibility in the Outer Himalayan region, a topic crucial for sustainable land management and soil conservation practices.</p> <p>2 It clearly reflects the study's focus. An alternative title could be: "Impact of Land Use Changes on Soil Erodibility in the Outer Himalayas.</p> <p>3 The abstract is comprehensive, summarizing the objectives, methods, key findings and implications of the study effectively.</p> <p>4 Logically organized and facilitate understanding of the study's methodology, results and discussions.</p> <p>5 Valid findings and relevant discussions supported by literature.</p> <p>6 The references are sufficient and mostly recent. No additional references are necessary</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION comments</b></p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The article's language and English quality are appropriate for scholarly communication, with clear, well-structured text and minimal grammatical errors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General comments</b></p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nora Chaouqi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>FSTS, Morocco</b>