

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_119503
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Chinese Nursing Students Perception in Cardiopulmonary Preparedness Weifang University of Science and Technology in China
Type of the Article	Original article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, some modification required, Assessment of Chinese Nursing Students Perception in Cardiopulmonary Preparedness: an observation descriptive study.</p> <p>Yes, some modifications are required. Remove the first sentence from abstract. Suggestion for first paragraph: The purpose of this study is to evaluate Chinese nursing students' proficiency in cardiopulmonary resuscitation. The purpose of this examination was to determine the nursing students at Weifang University of Science and Technology's "Readiness" to perform Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (BLS) in the hospital, community, and on community as necessary. Remove Scope and Limitation of the Study from abstract. Add recommendation at the end of abstract.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, language editing is required</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Introduction section need to be more deeper, and significance of the study need to be clarify.</p> <p>The methodology need more clarification What is the questionnaire used , where validity and reliability , What the components and scoring system used for this questionnaire Discussion need to be more deeper Statistical analysis need more clarification</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shimmaa Mohamed Mohamed Elsayed
Department, University & Country	Damanhour University, Egypt