

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJL2C_118707</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Unveiling Class Struggle: A Marxist Reading of Filipino Short Stories</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community, especially for those who interested to observe the social condition that appears in public.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, it is.</b></p> <p><b>Need to be more aligned with the research results</b></p> <p><b>Yes, they are appropriate enough</b></p> <p><b>I think so as far</b></p> <p><b>It will be better if the references added with different sources which is relevant</b></p>	

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is communicable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This literature study will be more beneficially if it is combined with empirical research.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	H. Sudiyono
Department, University & Country	IKIP SILIWANGI, Republic of Indonesia